

1 -

b6
b7C

SAC, WFO (72-194)

11/25/74

Director, FBI (72-2190) — 47


REMOVAL OF NATIONAL
SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS
OOJ
OO: WFO

ReWFOlet dated 11/20/74.

Enclosed for WFO are forms 12-119 concerning
the hours of leave taken by Courtland J. Jones, Social Security
#224-60-1664, and [redacted] Social Security [redacted]
which was requested in relet.


b6
b7C

Enclosures - 2



b6
b7C

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____



84-15-C6

1974

MAIL ROOM

ETYPE UNIT

b6
b7C

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (72-2190)

DATE: 11/20/74

FR : SAC, WFO (72-194) (P)

SUBJECT: REMOVAL OF NATIONAL
SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS
OOJ
(OO:WFO)

b6
b7C

Re WFO airtel, 11/20/74.

Assistant Watergate Special Prosecutor, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] who is assisting in above captioned investigation,
telephonically requested FBI leave records, both sick
and annual, be checked during the period May 9, 1969,
through February 10, 1971, for former WFO supervisor
COURTLAND J. JONES and WFO supervisor [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

Above information is needed to determine the
exact dates that [REDACTED] acted as JONES's relief. It is
understood by WFO that at times both [REDACTED] and JONES
could have been off, therefore, the desk would have been
covered by [REDACTED] presently of the Bureau.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

Bureau is requested to ascertain the above
information and notify WFO.

REC-88

72-2190-47

TO DEC 2 1974

b6
b7C

② Bureau
1- WFO

[REDACTED]
(3)

At wFO 2nd flr
11/25/74
AC-2-47



5010-110

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

F B I

Date:

10/4/74

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (72-2190)
 (ATTENTION: IDENTIFICATION
 DIVISION, LATENT CASE NUMBER
 A-97663)

FROM: SAC, WFO (72-194) (P)

REMOVAL OF NATIONAL
 SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS
 OOJ
 (OO:WFO)

ReBulet (Identification Report) to WFO, dated 10/3/74.

It is noted that referenced letter reflects that 15 latent fingerprints of value were developed on the sheets of paper designated as Q2 and Q3 and that ten of these latents remain unidentified.

REQUEST OF THE BUREAU

The Identification Division is requested to compare the aforementioned unidentified latent prints with the known fingerprints of the following individuals:

[REDACTED], date of birth (DOB) [REDACTED] REC 2272-2190-48

[REDACTED], DOB [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], DOB [REDACTED]

14 NOV 20 1974

WILLIAM H. MERRILL, DOB-5/27/23.

HENRY ALFRED KISSINGER, DPOB-5/27/23, Fuerth

Germany.

2-Bureau (Handcarry)
 1-WFO

[REDACTED]

10-11/11/74

[REDACTED]
 (3)

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

Approved: D. L. W. M. Sent _____ M Per _____

84 DEC 6 1974 Special Agent in Charge

WFO 72-194

JOSEPH FRED BUZHARDT, DPOB-2/21/24, Greenwood,
South Carolina.

ALEXANDER MEIGS HAIG, DPOB-12/2/24, Philadelphia,
Pennsylvania.

[redacted] DPOB [redacted]

b6
b7c

HARRY R. HALDEMAN, DOB-10/27/26.

ROBERT C. MARDIAN, DPOB-10/23/23, Pasadena, California.

RICHARD M. NIXON.

CHARLES D. BRENNAN (former Assistant Director,
FBI),

LOUIS PATRICK GRAY, III.

Results of requested examination should be furnished
in sealed envelopes to SA [redacted] Division Six,
FBIHQ, and to SA [redacted], WFO.

b6
b7c



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT
of theIDENTIFICATION DIVISION
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION

YOUR FILE NO. REC-106 72-194
 FBI FILE NO. 72-2190 - 49
 LATENT CASE NO. A-97663

November 19, 1974

TO: SAC, WFO

RE: REMOVAL OF NATIONAL
 SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS
 OQJ

REFERENCE: Airtel 10-29-74
 EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: WFO
 SPECIMENS:

Three unidentified latent fingerprints previously reported on Q2 and Q3 are not identical with fingerprints of the following individuals:

Ruth Elizabeth Newcomb, born 2-28-21
 John Hugh Davitt, born 6-9-23
 in Fall River, Massachusetts

born [redacted]

[redacted]
 in [redacted]
 Sterling Bernard Donahoe, born 7-21-18
 in Richmond, Virginia

born [redacted]

b6
b7C

Assoc. Dir. _____
 Dep. AD Adm. _____
 Dep. AD Inv. _____
 Asst. Dir.:
 Admin. _____
 Comp. Syst. _____
 Ext. Affairs _____
 Files & Com. _____
 Gen. Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inspection _____
 Intell. _____
 Laboratory _____
 Legal Coun. _____
 Plan. & Eval. _____
 Spec. Inv. _____
 Training _____
 Telephone Rm. _____
 Director Sec'y _____

MAILED 11-21-74
 84 DEC 12 1974

SA [redacted]

Room 2244JB

THIS IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

E UNIT [redacted]

Clarence M. Kelley, Director

b6
b7C

SAC, WFO

November 19, 1974

W. Mark Felt, born 8-17-13

[redacted]
born [redacted] in [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] born [redacted]
in [redacted]

Guy L. Goodwin, born 9-15-28
in Kansas City, Missouri

Muriel Lacey Hartley, born 9-13-22
in Washington, D. C.

Robert H. Haynes, born 10-3-24
in Washington, D. C.

Catherine S. Hanley, born 4-14-13
in Washington, D. C.

John Edgar Hoover, born 1-1-1895
in Washington, D. C.

Russell Horace Horner, born 1-25-25
in Crewe, Virginia

Richard Gordon Kleindienst, born 8-5-23
in Winslow, Arizona

Kevin Timothy Maroney, born 12-11-23
in Baltimore, Maryland

[redacted], born [redacted]

b6
b7C

in [redacted] born [redacted]

in [redacted] born [redacted]

in [redacted] born [redacted]

in [redacted] born [redacted]

Edward Samuel Miller, born 11-11-23
in East Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

(Continued on next page)

Page 2

LC #A-97663

SAC, WFO

November 19, 1974

Donald Edgar Moore, born 7-11-18
in Oketo, Kansas
Richard Anthony Moore, born 1-23-14
in Albany, New York

[redacted] born [redacted]
in [redacted]
Henry Edward Petersen, born 3-26-21
in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
[redacted] born [redacted]
in [redacted] born [redacted]
in [redacted]
Joseph Alexander Sizoo, born 8-28-10
in Woodstock, Minnesota

[redacted] born [redacted] in [redacted]
Thomas James Smith, born 8-28-20
in Texas, FBI #4261032

[redacted] born [redacted]
in [redacted] born [redacted]
in [redacted]
Clyde A. Tolson, born 5-22-1900
in Grundy County, Missouri
W. Raymond Wannall, born 5-31-18
in Washington, D. C.
[redacted] born [redacted]
in [redacted] born [redacted]
in [redacted]

Based on available information, no fingerprint
records located Identification Division files for
[redacted] or [redacted]

(Continued on next page)

SAC, WFO

November 19, 1974

We are unable to locate fingerprints of
[redacted] at this time, however, in the
event his fingerprints are located, comparisons
will be made and you will be advised of the results.

b6
b7C

Page 4
LC #A-97663

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION WORK SHEET

Recorded: 10/30/74, 2:15pm cs

✓ Reference No: 72-194
 ✓ FBI File No: 72-2190-49
 ✓ Latent Case No: A-97663

Received: 10/30/74

Answer to: SAC, WFO

personal attention: SA

WFO b6
b7c

Examination requested by:

Copy to:

RE: REMOVAL OF NATIONAL
SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS
OOJ

Date of reference communication: Airtel 10/29/74

Specimens:

Names submitted for comparison purposes of
 ✓ RUTH ELIZABETH (BEGIN) D.O.B. 10/3/22, at Boothbay Harbor
 nee NEWCOMB Maine; probably fingerprinted
 under maiden name
 dob 2-28-21

Continued on page 2

Result of examination:

list to CJ civil 10/31

Examination by:

b6

b7C

Evidence noted by:

Breed trail info. no record 2 files at or
 Civil pt on loc.

b6

b7C

Remaining named indirs. not of 3 emp lat fpt this case.
 Advised SA
 Transcrip. comp. will be made & result furnished.

b6

b7C

Examination completed 10:00

11/18/74

Dictated

11/18/74

11-18-74

Date

Date

Pm. 2244

1cc- SA

WFO 72-194

✓ JOHN HUGH DAVITT 2CIV. 6/9/23, at Fall River, Massachusetts Green FBI # NC 255726 b6 b7C

✓ [redacted] 1CIV. [redacted]

✓ STERLING BENEDICT DONAHUE 1CIV. 7/21/18, at Richmond, Virginia; retired FBIHQ Supervisor [redacted] b6 b7C

✓ [redacted] No CIV. 1Crim. [redacted]

✓ W. MARK FELT 1CIV. 8/17/13, at Twin Falls, Idaho b6 b7C

✓ [redacted] No CIV. 1Crim. [redacted] at [redacted] (WDC); probably fingerprinted under maiden name

✓ [redacted] aka 3CIV. [redacted] b6 b7C

✓ GUY (LEE) GOODWIN 1CIV. 9/15/28, Kansas City, Missouri

✓ MURIEL (AGNES) HARTLEY 4CIV. 9/13/22, at WDC NC 339181

✓ ROBERT H. HAYNES 1CIV. Currently employed as FBIHQ Supervisor dob 10/3/24 Wash, D.C.

✓ CATHRINE S. HENLEY 1CIV. 4/14/13, at WDC

✓ [redacted] No CIV. [redacted] b6 b7C

✓ JOHN EDGAR HOOVER 1CIV. 1-1-1875, WDC

✓ RUSSELL HORN HOPPER 1CIV. Currently employed as FBIHQ Supervisor Job 1-25-25 Crews, Inc. [redacted]

✓ [redacted] No CIV. 1CIV. [redacted] b6 b7C

✓ RICHARD GORDON KLEINDIENST 1CIV. 8/5/23 at Winslow, Arizona

WFO 72-194

(on loc)
UTL.
[redacted]

[redacted]
no crim.

[redacted] at [redacted]

b6
b7C

KEVIN TIMOTHY MARONEY 1civ.

12/11/23, at Baltimore, Maryland NC255553

[redacted] 2civ.

[redacted] at [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] 1civ.

White female, [redacted] years old,
formerly employed U.S. Department
of Justice; probably printed
under maiden name [redacted]

[redacted] 1civ.

[redacted] at [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] 2civ.

[redacted] at [redacted] probably finger-
printed under maiden name

EDWARD SAM MILLER

1civ.

11/11/23, at East Pittsburgh,
Pennsylvania

[redacted] 1civ.

[redacted]

b6
b7C

DONALD E. MOORE no crim.

7/11/18 at Cketo, Kansas

RICHARD ANTHONY MOORE

10/11/23

1/23/14, at Albany, New York

[redacted] 2civ.

[redacted]

b6
b7C

HENRY EDWARD PETERSEN

1civ.

3/26/21 at Philadelphia,
Pennsylvania

Enck

[redacted] 1civ.

[redacted] at [redacted]
probably fingerprinted under
maiden name

b6
b7C

[redacted] no crim.

1civ.

[redacted] at [redacted]

WFO 72-194

✓ JOSEPH A. SIZOO	1 CIV.	8/28/10, at Woodstock, Minnesota
[redacted]	2 CIV.	[redacted] at [redacted] [redacted]
✓ THOMAS JAMES SMITH	1 CRIM	8/28/20, at Texas; retired FBIHQ supervisor FBI# 426103
[redacted]	1 CIV.	[redacted] at WDC; probably fingerprinted under <u>married</u> name
[redacted]	NO CIV.	[redacted] at [redacted] probably fingerprinted under maiden name
✓ CLYDE A. TOLSON	1 CIV.	DOB 5-22-1900 Grundy Co., VA
✓ W. RAYMOND WANNALL	1 CIV.	5-31-18 WDC Current Assistant Director, FBI
[redacted]	2 CIV.	[redacted] at [redacted]
[redacted]	1 CIV.	[redacted] at [redacted]

F B I

Date: 10/29/74

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (72-2190)
 (ATTN: IDENTIFICATION DIVISION
 LATENT CASE # A-97663)

FROM: SAC, WFO (72-194) (P)

O
 REMOVAL OF NATIONAL
 SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS
 OOJ
 (OO:WFO)

Re FBI Identification Division report dated 10/17

b6
b7C

Referenced Identification Division report reflect
 that three latent fingerprints of value remain unidentified
 on the two sheets of ruled yellow paper (Q2 and Q3) which bear
 the names of the individuals who were, at one time or another,
 subjects of the 17 White House ordered wiretaps.

On 10/24-25/74, Assistant Special Prosecutor [redacted]
 [redacted] Watergate Special Prosecutor's Office (WSPO), requested
 that the unidentified prints in question be compared with the
 known fingerprints of the following individuals:

REC-103

72-2190-49
DEC 5 1974b6
b7CNameDate and Place of birth
or other identifying dataRUTH ELIZABETH BEGIN,
nee NEWCOMB10/3/22, at Boothbay Harbor
Maine; probably fingerprinted
under maiden nameb6
b7C(3) Bureau (Handcarry)
1- WFO
[redacted]

(4)

Approved: DWM Jr./LB
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

U.S. Government Printing Office: 1972 - 455-574

WFO 72-194

JOHN HUGH DAVITT

6/9/23, at Fall River,
Massachusetts

[redacted]

[redacted]

b6
b7C

STERLING B. DONAHUE

7/21/18, at Richmond, Virginia;
retired FBIHQ Supervisor

[redacted]

[redacted]

W. MARK FELT

8/17/13, at Twin Falls, Idaho

[redacted]

[redacted] at Washington, D.C.

(WDC); probably fingerprinted
under maiden name

b6
b7C

[redacted]

[redacted]

GUY LEE GOODWIN

9/15/28, Kansas City, Missouri

MURIEL AGNES HARTLEY
ROBERT H. HAYNES

9/13/22, at WDC
Currently employed as FBIHQ
Supervisor

CATHERINE S. HENLEY

4/14/13, at WDC

[redacted]

[redacted]

b6
b7C

JOHN EDGAR HOOVER

[redacted]

Currently employed as FBIHQ
Supervisor

[redacted]

[redacted] at [redacted]

RICHARD GORDON KLEINDIENST

8/5/23, at Winslow, Arizona

WFO 72-194

[redacted]

[redacted] at [redacted]
[redacted]

b6
b7C

KEVIN TIMOTHY MARONEY

12/11/23, at Baltimore, Maryland

[redacted]

[redacted] at [redacted]

[redacted]

White female, [redacted] years old,
formerly employed U.S. Department
of Justice; probably printed
under maiden name

[redacted]

[redacted] at [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted] at [redacted] probably finger-
printed under maiden name

EDWARD S. MILLER

11/11/23, at East Pittsburgh,
Pennsylvania

[redacted]

[redacted]

b6
b7C

DONALD E. MOORE

7/11/18 at Oketo, Kansas

RICHARD ANTHONY MOORE

1/23/14, at Albany, New York

[redacted]

[redacted] at [redacted]

HENRY EDWARD PETERSEN

3/26/21 at Philadelphia,
Pennsylvania

[redacted]

[redacted] at [redacted]
probably fingerprinted under
maiden name

[redacted]

[redacted] at [redacted]

b6
b7C

WFO 72-194

JOSEPH A. SIZOO

[redacted]

8/28/10, at Woodstock, Minnesota

[redacted] at [redacted]

b6
b7C

THOMAS J. SMITH

[redacted]

8/20/20, at Texas; retired
FBIHQ supervisor

[redacted] at WDC; probably
fingerprinted under married
name

[redacted]

[redacted] at [redacted]
probably fingerprinted under
maiden name

b6
b7C

CLYDE A. TOLSON

W. RAYMOND WANNALL

Current Assistant Director, FBI

[redacted]

[redacted] at [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted]

[redacted] at [redacted]

b6
b7C

Results of the above requested comparisons
should be furnished in sealed envelopes to SA [redacted]
[redacted] Division 6, FBIHQ, and SAC, WFO, marked to the
personal attention of SA [redacted]

1 - [redacted]

b6
b7C

November 13, 1974

REC-103

72-2190-50

BY COURIER SERVICE

REMOVAL OF NATIONAL SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

Reference is made to the memorandum of Special
Prosecutor [redacted] dated November 6, 1974,
which requested copies of former Director J. Edgar Hoover's
telephone logs for the following dates in 1971:

January 22, 25
February 1-12, 17-19
March 1, 16-22
April 1-19
May 12-14, 26-28
June 1-4, 13-30
July 1-16, 26
August 1-9, 17-19, 25-31
September 1, 2, 7-9, 13-30
October 1-8, 13, 18, 19, 25
November 1, 2, 10, 12, 15, 22, 23
December 9, 11, 24, 27, 29, 30

b6
b7C

In accordance with that request, there are
transmitted herewith the 156 logs requested. No further
action is contemplated concerning this request.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions
of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to
your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed
outside your agency.

[redacted] BY COURIER SVC.

[redacted] NOV 13 P.M.

b6
b7C

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rn. _____
Director Sec'y _____

Enclosures (156)

[redacted] NOTE

Original and [redacted] together with enclosures,
transmitted by O-14 to the Special Prosecution
Force this date.

8 1-10 1 MAIL ROOM

LETYPING UNIT

Memorandum

TO : Clarence M. Kelley, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation

DATE: November 6, 1974

b6
b7C

[Redacted]
Special Prosecutor

SUBJECT: Removal of Wiretap Records

EPP

In connection with this Office's investigation of the above-captioned matter would the Bureau please provide this Office with copies of Mr. J. Edgar Hoover's logs for the following dates in 1971:

January 22, 25
February 1-12, 17-19
March 1, 16-22
April 1-19
May 12-14, 26-28
June 1-4, 13-30
July 1-16, 26
August 1-9, 17-19, 25-31
September 1, 2, 7-9, 13-30
October 1-8, 13, 18, 19, 25
November 1, 2, 10, 12, 15, 22, 23
December 9, 11, 24, 27, 29, 30

EX-PRO
NOV 8 1974
b6
b7C

REC-103

72-2190-5

12-5
NOV 8 1974

b6
b7C

LHM t SPD
11/13/74

Airtel

11/13/74

To: SAC, WFO (72-194)

REC-102

From: Director, FBI (72-2190) - 51

1 - [redacted]

b6
b7c

O
REMOVAL OF NATIONAL SECURITY
WIRETAP RECORDS
OOJ
(ACCOUNTING & FRAUD SECTION -
WATERGATE UNIT)

Enclosed for WFO are two copies of memorandum from the Special Prosecution Force dated 11/7/74, requesting additional investigation in captioned matter.

Prior instructions concerning this very sensitive investigation are reiterated, and it must continue to be handled on a "need-to-know" basis.

It is observed that some of the investigation that is requested herein may require investigation in Boston, Massachusetts. If WFO should determine this to be so, it should submit recommendations with respect to handling by WFO Agents or whether it can be handled by the Boston Office. Some of this investigation may also require the assistance of the Accounting and Fraud Section, FBIHQ. WFO should continue to contact the Watergate Unit in this regard at that time when the assistance is required.

Enclosures - 2

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____

[redacted] (4) [redacted]

11/13/74
WFO, SA [redacted]

[redacted]

82 DEC 15 1974 MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

b6
b7c

WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE

DEPARTMENT

b6
b7C*Memorandum*

TO : Clarence M. Kelley
 Director
 Federal Bureau of Investigation

: [redacted]
 Special Prosecutor
 Watergate Special Prosecution Force

SUBJECT: Removal of Wiretap Records

DATE: November

JUSTICE	✓
Adm.	[redacted]
Inv.	[redacted]
Admin.	[redacted]
Comp. Svst	[redacted]
Ext. A	[redacted]
Files &	[redacted]
Gen. In	[redacted]
Ident.	[redacted]
Inspection	[redacted]
Intell.	[redacted]
Lab.atory	[redacted]
Plan. & Eval.	[redacted]
Spec. Inv.	[redacted]
Training	[redacted]
Legal Coun.	[redacted]
Telephone Rm.	[redacted]
Direct. Sec'y	[redacted]

In connection with the above-captioned matter,
 would the Bureau conduct the following additional
 investigations:

1. On August 4, 1971, and/or August 5, 1971,
 a hearing was held in Boston, Massachusetts on the
 Government's motion to have Daniel Ellsberg removed
 from Massachusetts to California. There is evidence
 that at this hearing Mr. Ellsberg's attorneys asserted
 that the indictment of Mr. Ellsberg had been based on
 wiretap information. It is known that this assertion
 was eventually reported to Mr. Mardian. There is
 evidence that beginning on August 4, 1971, and
 continuing through at least August 11, 1971, Mr. [redacted]
 and others were concerned about a threat by Mr.
 Hoover to reveal the existence of the White House
 ordered wiretaps. The earliest indication of any
 activity in this regard was on August 4, 1971, when,
 according to [redacted] logs, at 12:45 p.m.,
 Mr. Mardian called [redacted] and immediately there-
 after, at 12:47 p.m., [redacted] called [redacted].
 The Bureau should seek to establish all relevant
 facts with regard to the removal hearing in Boston and,
 in particular, when and how the assertion by Mr. Ellsberg's
 lawyers that his indictment was based on wiretap infor-
 mation was communicated to FBI headquarters and/or
 the Department.

b6
b7C

REC-102

72-2190-51

2. The Bureau has been investigating, at the
 request of this Office, various aspects of these
 wiretaps, including the initiation, operation, and termina-
 tion of these wiretaps, the issue of erroneous responses
 to elsur check requests with regard to [redacted] Ellsberg

b6
b7C

arited 1-30-70 12:00
 11/11/71 REC-102

5 1971

11-8-74

Keep copy made & held for Director

[redacted] and Halperin, the removal of the records of these wiretaps, the testimony of Mr. Gray concerning these wiretaps as well as the [redacted] wiretaps, and the circumstances of the recovery of these wiretap records from the White House at the time of the dismissal of the Ellsberg case. In investigating these matters, it is suggested that secretaries such as [redacted] and [redacted] may be able to provide useful information since they held their present positions throughout the time period in question.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE WASHINGTON FIELD	OFFICE OF ORIGIN WASHINGTON FIELD	DATE 11/22/74	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 10/16/74-11/21/74
TITLE OF CASE REMOVAL OF NATIONAL SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS		REPORT MADE BY SAs [redacted] and	TYPED BY
		CHARACTER OF CASE OOJ (ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION-WATERGATE UNIT)	b6 b7C

REFERENCES: WFO report of SAs [redacted] and b6
[redacted] dated 10/17/74; b7C

Bureau letters (Identification Division Reports) dated 10/17/74, 10/21/74, 10/30/74, 11/19/74, and 11/21/74.


-P-

ADMINISTRATIVE:

The investigative period of this report precedes the date of referenced report in order to incorporate the FD-302 reflecting the interview of SA ROBERT H. HAYNES which had not been transcribed in time for inclusion in referenced report

b6
b7C

As the Bureau is aware, the Watergate Special Prosecutor's Office (WSPO) memorandum, dated 10/29/74, requested additional investigation concerning this matter



ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					X NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
							PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO


APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT
IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

COPIES MADE:

④ Bureau (72-219)
2-WFO (72-194)



72-2190-52

REC-102

DEC 5 1974

b6
b7C

Dissemination Record of Attached Report					Notations
Agency	2	Special Prosecution Force			
Request Recd.	Attn:				
Date Fwd.	via Special Courier	11/29/74			
How Fwd.					
By	1	2241			

WFO 72-194

and the companion case entitled "LOUIS PATRICK GRAY, III, Perjury," WFO file 74-293. both of these cases are receiving continuous investigative attention and Division 6 has been and will continue to be kept apprised of all pertinent developments.

-B*-
COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Copy to:

~~SECRET~~

SAS [redacted]

Washington, D.C. ^{b6}
^{b7C}Report of:
Date:

11/22/74

Office:

Field Office File #:

72-194

Bureau File #:

72-2190

Title: REMOVAL OF NATIONAL
SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS

OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

Character:

Synopsis:

SA ROBERT H. HAYNES, Liaison Section, FBIHQ, recalled that in telephone call with General ALEXANDER HAIG, HAIG requested wiretaps on ROBERT PURSLEY, WILLIAM SULLIVAN and WILLIAM BEECHER. HAYNES not familiar with 6/15/71 memorandum captioned "RE: MORTON HALPERIN," but assumes same prepared by BERNARD A. WELLS. HAYNES unable to recall whether he ever previously observed handwritten two page list of names of 17 individuals who had been wiretapped in connection with White House ordered wiretap project. HAYNES also not familiar with "Undated COURTLAND JONES note" relating to 8/6/69 physical surveillance of MORTON HALPERIN and [redacted] nor with photographs taken in connection with same. HAYNES not knowledgeable of October, 1971, attempt to reconstruct wiretap records. HAYNES stated he was aware of HALPERIN wiretap through his familiarity with letters prepared by WELLS and "suspects" he may have learned of DANIEL ELLSBERG overhear in same manner; however, HAYNES not certain of latter. HAYNES had no knowledge that White House wiretaps were ever considered for their potential value in investigation of Pentagon Papers case. Four latent fingerprints of value developed on carbon copy of aforementioned 6/15/71, memorandum captioned "RE: MORTON HALPERIN." One of these fingerprints identified as that of BERNARD ALLEN WELLS and other three fingerprints identified as those of ROBERT CHARLES MARDIAN. Fifteen latent fingerprints of value developed on two-page handprinted list of names of 17 individuals who were subjects of White House ordered wiretaps. Twelve of these fingerprints

^{b6}
^{b7C}

Classified by [redacted]

~~SECRET~~

Exempt from GDS, Category

Date of Declassification Indefinite

^{b6}
^{b7C}

WFO 72-194

[REDACTED]
have been identified as follows: WELLS, 3; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] 2; [REDACTED] (Assistant Prosecutor,
WSPO), 1; and MARDIAN, 6. List of individuals with whom
remaining 3 unidentified prints compared set forth.

b6
b7c

-P-

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D.C.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

10/21/74

Date of transcription _____

ROBERT H. HAYNES, Special Agent (SA), assigned to the Liaison Section, Division Five, FBI Headquarters (FBIHQ), telephone number 324-3503, was advised of the nature of the inquiry being conducted at the request of the Watergate Special Prosecutor's Office (WSPO).

Thereafter, SA HAYNES advised that he had previously been interviewed by representatives of the Inspection Staff in May, 1973, concerning the same general matter. However, in response to specific questions, SA HAYNES recalled the following information in addition to the information he previously furnished the Inspection Staff:

When previously interviewed by the Inspectors, he was unable to recall the identities of the individuals on whom wiretaps were requested in a telephone call he received from General ALEXANDER HAIG. He now recalls that HAIG requested wiretaps on ROBERT PURSLEY, WILLIAM SULLIVAN and WILLIAM BEECHER.

SA HAYNES was exhibited a photograph depicting page one of a carbon copy of a memorandum dated June 15, 1971, and captioned "RE: MORTON HALPERIN." He stated that he had never previously observed this document and that he would assume that the memorandum in question was prepared by BERNARD A. WELLS in view of WELLS' assignment to prepare summary letters to the White House concerning the results of the wiretaps.

SA HAYNES was exhibited two color photographs depicting b6 a handprinted list of the names of the 17 individuals who had b7c been wiretapped in connection with the White House ordered wiretap project. This list was handprinted on yellow legal size, lined paper. He stated he had "no idea" whether he ever previously observed this list and that he does not believe that this is the same list which was utilized by himself and WELLS when they checked the letters relating to the wiretaps which letters he (HAYNES) retrieved from HAIG and [redacted] as he (HAYNES) previously related to the Inspection Staff. He noted that the list he and WELLS utilized was a list of the addressees rather than those individuals who were subjects of wiretaps.

Interviewed on 10/16/74 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 72-194
 by SAs [redacted] and [redacted] Date dictated 10/18/74

b6
b7c

WFO 72-194

2

SA HAYNES was exhibited a Xerox copy of page 248 of Book VII, Part I, of the Hearings Before the Committee of the Judiciary Concerning the Possible Impeachment of RICHARD M. NIXON. This particular page contains an "Undated COURTLAND JONES note" which reflects that on August 6, 1969, [redacted] (identified by the letter P) and MORTON HALPERIN (identified by the letter N) lunched at the Occidental Restaurant in Washington, D.C., where they were photographed together. SA HAYNES stated he had never previously observed this note.

b6
b7c

SA HAYNES was then exhibited six black and white photographs which were taken in connection with the aforementioned physical surveillance of HALPERIN and [redacted] at the Occidental Restaurant. He stated he was not familiar with these photographs and had never previously observed them.

b6
b7c

SA HAYNES was advised that in October, 1971, there was an apparent attempt by certain officials at FBIHQ, including Bureau Supervisor THOMAS J. SMITH, to reconstruct the wiretap records inasmuch as former Assistant to the Director WILLIAM C. SULLIVAN had caused all the wiretap logs and related records to be removed from FBIHQ. SA HAYNES was asked whether anyone had contacted him in the same general time frame or if he was aware of any discussions wherein an attempt was made to reconstruct the wiretap records. He replied in the negative.

In response to other specific questions, SA HAYNES provided the following additional information:

He was aware of the HALPERIN wiretap through his familiarity with the letters prepared by WELLS.

Concerning the first time he became aware of the fact that DANIEL ELLSBERG had been overheard on a Bureau wiretap, he recalled that he did know that ELLSBERG had been overheard but he cannot recall the circumstances under which he became aware of same. He "suspects" that he may have become aware of the ELLSBERG overhears from one of the letters which was prepared by WELLS and which he (HAYNES) delivered to the White House. However, he is not certain of this and, if this was the case, the name ELLSBERG was meaningless to him at the time he first saw it and it was only in retrospect (after the name was publicized) that he attached any significance to it.

WFO 72-194

3

He is not certain, but it is possible he may have retrieved some wiretap logs from HAIG at the White House.

SA HAYNES was specifically asked whether he, at any time, was ever aware of any discussions or circumstances which would tend to indicate that the White House ordered wiretaps were considered for their potential value in relation to the "Pentagon Papers" case investigation. He stated he had no knowledge that this ever occurred.

WFO 72-194

By report dated October 21, 1974, the FBI Identification Division advised that a carbon copy of a memorandum dated June 15, 1971, and captioned "RE: MORTON HALPERIN" had been processed for the presence of any latent fingerprints and that four (4) latent fingerprints of value and one palm print had been developed.

The same report disclosed that all four latent fingerprints were identified. Following are the results of comparisons of latent fingerprints on the above described memorandum:

Your File No. 72-194
FBI File No. 72-2190
Latent Case No. A-97663

October 21, 1974

RE: BERNARD ALLEN WELLS, BORN JANUARY 7,
1920 IN SETH, WEST VIRGINIA

A latent fingerprint developed on a copy of a memorandum dated June 15, 1971 captioned 'RE: MORTON HALPERIN,' has been identified with the right ring finger impression of Wells.

Your File No. 72-194
FBI File No. 72-2190
Latent Case No. A-97663

October 21, 1974

RE: ROBERT CHARLES MARDIAN, FBI #769220G

Three latent prints developed on a copy of a memorandum dated June 15, 1971 captioned "RE: MORTON HALPERIN," have all been identified as left thumb impressions of Mardian.

WFO 72-194

It is noted that previously, on October 1, 1974, two sheets of ruled yellow paper bearing a handprinted list of names of the 17 individuals who, at one time or another, were the subjects of the so-called "White House ordered 17 wiretaps," were submitted to the FBI Identification Division. Fifteen (15) latent fingerprints of value were developed on these two sheets (designated as Q2 and Q3) and, as previously reported, three of these latent fingerprints were identified as those of BERNARD A. WELLS, JR., who was born on January 7, 1920, at Seth, West Virginia. Also, as previously reported, two of the remaining fingerprints in question were identified as those of [redacted]

b6
b7c

By report dated October 17, 1974, the Identification Division advised that another one of the remaining unidentified fingerprints was that of [redacted] born [redacted]
[redacted] in [redacted] an Assistant Watergate Special Prosecutor.

b6
b7c

The same report also disclosed that another six latent fingerprints had been identified as those of ROBERT CHARLES MARDIAN. Following is a copy of the Identification Division report regarding MARDIAN:

Your File No. 72-194
FBI File No. 72-2190
Latent Case No. A-97663

October 17, 1974

RE: ROBERT CHARLES MARDIAN, FBI #769220G

Five latent fingerprints previously developed on a large sheet of ruled yellow paper bearing hand printing beginning [redacted] . " and ending "...MORTON H. HALPERIN NSC," designated Q2, and a latent fingerprint previously developed on a large sheet of ruled yellow paper bearing hand printing beginning "BRIG. GEN ROBERT E. PURSLEY..." and ending "...WHITE HOUSE," designated Q3, have been identified as finger impressions of Mardian.

b6
b7c

WFO 72-194

Thus, of the original fifteen latent fingerprints of value developed on Q2 and Q3, three fingerprints remain unidentified. In this regard, these three prints have been (by Identification Division reports dated October 17, 1974; October 30, 1974; November 19, 1974; and November 21, 1974) compared with the known fingerprints of the following individuals with negative results:

RICHARD M. NIXON, born January 9, 1913.

LOUIS PATRICK GRAY, III, born July 18, 1916, in St. Louis, Missouri.

CHARLES DIEHL BRENNAN, born June 12, 1922, in Atlantic City, New Jersey.

ROBERT CHARLES MARDIAN, born October 23, 1923, in Pasadena, California, FBI Number 769220G.

HARRY ROBBINS HALDEMAN, born October 27, 1926, FBI Number 304609L6.

[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]

b6
b7c

ALEXANDER MEIGS HAIG, born December 2, 1924, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

JOSEPH FRED BUZHARDT, JR., born February 21, 1924, in Greenwood, South Carolina, United States (U.S.) Army Service Number 14165381.

WILLIAM HALE MERRILL, born May 27, 1923.

[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]

b6
b7c

[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] U.S. Army Reserve Number

ANDREW WILLIAM OLSON, born July 3, 1922, in Portland, Oregon, U.S. Army Service Number 19120925.

[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]

b6
b7c

WFO 72-194

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in ..
[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in
[REDACTED] in [REDACTED] born [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] born [REDACTED]

HENRY ALFRED KISSINGER, born May 27, 1923, in
Fuerth, Germany.

RUTH ELIZABETH NEWCOMB, born February 28, 1921.

JOHN HUGH DAVITT, born June 9, 1923, in Fall
River, Massachusetts.

[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in
[REDACTED]

STERLING BERNARD DONAHOE, born July 21, 1918, in
Richmond, Virginia.

[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in
[REDACTED]

W. MARK FELT, born August 17, 1913.

[REDACTED] in [REDACTED] born [REDACTED]
in [REDACTED] born [REDACTED]

GUY L. GOODWIN, born September 15, 1928, in
Kansas City, Missouri.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

WFO 72-194

MURIEL LACEY HARTLEY, born September 13, 1922,
in Washington, D.C.

ROBERT H. HAYNES, born October 3, 1924, in
Washington, D.C.

CATHERINE S. HENLEY, born April 14, 1913, in
Washington, D.C.

JOHN EDGAR HOOVER, born January 1, 1895, in
Washington, D.C.

RUSSELL HORACE HORNER, born January 25, 1925,
in Crewe, Virginia.

RICHARD GORDON KLEINDIENST, born August 5, 1923,
in Winslow, Arizona.

KEVIN TIMOTHY MARONEY, born December 11, 1923, in
Baltimore, Maryland.

in [REDACTED] born [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in
[REDACTED]

in [REDACTED] born [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in
[REDACTED]

EDWARD SAMUEL MILLER, born November 11, 1923,
in East Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

[REDACTED]

DONALD EDGAR MOORE, born July 11, 1918, in
Oketo, Kansas

RICHARD ANTHONY MOORE, born January 23, 1914,
in Albany, New York.

[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in
[REDACTED]

b6
b7c

b6
b7c

WFO 72-194

HENRY EDWARD PETERSEN, born March 26, 1921, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in
[REDACTED]
in [REDACTED] born [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

JOSEPH ALEXANDER SIZOO, born August 28, 1910, in Woodstock, Minnesota.

in [REDACTED] born [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

THOMAS JAMES SMITH, born August 28, 1920, in Texas, FBI Number 4261032.

[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in

CLYDE A. TOLSON, born May 22, 1900, in Grundy County, Missouri.

W. RAYMOND WANNALL, born May 31, 1918, in Washington, D.C.

[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] born [REDACTED] in
[REDACTED], born [REDACTED] in

b6
b7C

The Identification Division report dated November 19, 1974, contained the following additional information:

Based on available information, no fingerprint records were located in Identification Division files for [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

WFO 72-194

Also, the Identification Division was unable to locate the fingerprints of [redacted] but efforts to do so are continuing.

b6
b7c

~~SECRET~~

NR 005 WF CODE

2:50PM URGENT 12-2-74 KLS

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

DEC 02 1974

~~REC'D 102~~

R, FBI (72-2190) AND

SAC, BOSTON

FROM: SAC, WFO (72-194) (P)

TELETYPE

REMOVAL OF NATIONAL SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS, OOJ (ACCOUNTING
AND FRAUD SECTION-WATERGATE UNIT).

RE WFO TEL CALLS TO BUREAU AND BOSTON TODAY; BUREAU AIRTEL
TO WFO NOVEMBER 13, 1974.

FOR INFORMATION OF BOSTON, WFO CONDUCTING INVESTIGATION
IN CAPTIONED MATTER AT REQUEST OF WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTOR'S
OFFICE. INFORMATION CURRENTLY DESIRED FROM BOSTON OFFICE IS AS
FOLLOWS:

REVIEW RECORDS OF U.S. MAGISTRATE PETER W. PRINCI, TO DETER-
MINE TIME DANIEL ELLSBERG'S REMOVAL HEARING BEGAN ON AUGUST 4,
1971; TIME ANY PAPERS FILED BY DEFENSE ATTORNEYS ALLEGING THAT
CALIFORNIA INDICTMENT BASED ON WIRETAP INFORMATION; TIME RECESS
FOR LUNCH, IF TAKEN AND TIME PRINCI RENDERED DECISION TO HAVE
ELLSSBERG REMOVED.

REC-102

72-2190-83

ABOVE INFORMATION IS ADDITIONAL REQUEST RECEIVED AFTER WFO
SPOKE TO BOSTON OFFICE.

SUTEL.

END
84 DEC 6 1974
SLP FBI HQ CLR

Assoc. Dir.	_____
Dep.-A.D.-Adm.	_____
Dep.-A.D.-Inv.	_____
Asst. Dir.:	_____
Admin.	_____
Comp. Syst.	_____

b6
b7C

Regd.	_____
Inspection	_____
Intell.	_____
Laboratory	_____
Plan. & Eval.	_____
Spec. Inv.	_____
Training	_____
Legal Coun.	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director Sec'y	_____

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

REC'D DEC 6 1974

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NR006 BS CODE

5:15PM URGENT DECEMBER 4, 1974 DAD

TO: DIRECTOR 72-2190
WFO 72-194
FROM: BOSTON 72-91

REMOVAL OF NATIONAL SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS, OOJ (ACCOUNTING
AND FRAUD SECTION - WATERGATE UNIT).

RE WFO TEL DECEMBER 2, 1974.

AFTER DILIGENT SEARCH OF RECORDS OF USDC, BOSTON,
THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION WAS OBTAINED FROM RECORDS CONCERNING
REMOVAL HEARING FOR DEFENDANT DANIEL ELLSBERG AT BOSTON,
MASS. FOLLOWING HIS SURRENDER ON ARREST WARRANT ISSUED AT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, JUNE 25, 1971.

REMOVAL HEARING HELD BY U.S. MAG. PETER W. PRINCI IN
ROOM 6, USDC, BOSTON, MASS. COMMENCING 10:00 AM, JULY 15, 1971 (REPEAT
JULY 15, 1971).

ON JULY 13, 1971, TIME NOT INDICATED, DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY
FILED MOTION FOR DENIAL OF REMOVAL AND TO GRANT A HEARING
ON QUESTION OF USE OF WIRETAPPING AND ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE BY
THE GOVERNMENT. MOTION WAS DESIGNED TO (1) COMPEL GOVERNMENT
TO CONFIRM OR DENY USE OF ELSUR AND WIRETAPPING AND (2) TO DETERMINE
IF INDICTMENT AND ARREST WARRANT HAD BEEN TAINTED BY INFORMATION
OBTAINED THROUGH ELSUR OR WIRETAPPING.

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep.-A.D.-Adm. _____ b6
Dep.-A.D.-Inv. _____ b7C
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Sv. _____
Ext. Aff. _____
File. _____
Gen. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Secy. _____

b6
b7C

REC-78

72-2190

54

DEC 12 1974

WFO
of parrot
E.P.L.

b6
b7C

PAGE TWO BS 72-91

REMOVAL HEARING ON JULY 15, 1971 LASTED APPROXIMATELY FIFTEEN MINUTES ACCORDING TO RECOLLECTION OF STAFF OF MAGISTRATE PRINCI AND NO RECESS FOR LUNCH WAS NECESSARY.

MAG. PRINCI ORDERED REMOVAL OF ELLSBERG AT CONCLUSION OF HEARING ON AM OF JULY 15, 1971 AFTER RULING THAT ONLY QUESTION BEFORE HIS COURT WAS THAT OF REMOVAL, THAT INDICTMENT OF ELLSBERG WAS VALID AND THAT ARREST WARRANT FOR ELLSBERG WAS VALID ON ITS FACE AND IDENTITY OF ELLSBERG WAS ESTABLISHED AS SAME INDIVIDUAL NAMED IN WARRANT.

REMOVAL ORDER SIGNED ^{8/15/71} BY USDJ W. ARTHUR GARRITY. TIME OF DECISION TO ORDER REMOVAL WAS NOT RECORDED.

[REDACTED] TO U.S. MAG. PRINCI ADVISED VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT OF REMOVAL HEARING WAS OF SUCH LENGTH AS TO INDICATE REMOVAL HEARING WOULD HAVE LASTED APPROXIMATELY FIFTEEN TO TWENTY MINUTES. SHE STATED MINUTE BY MINUTE ACCOUNTS OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE U.S. MAGISTRATE ARE NOT KEPT.

END

b6
b7c

Airtel

12/27/74

To: SAC, WFO (72-194)
From: Director, FBI (72-2190)

1 - [redacted]

b6
b7C

O
REMOVAL OF NATIONAL
SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS
OOJ
(ACCOUNTING & FRAUD SECTION -
WATERGATE UNIT)
OO: WFO

Enclosed is one copy of an FD-302 dated
12/12/74, prepared by SA [redacted] relative
to this case. This should be duplicated and included in
the next report submitted by your office.


b6
b7C

Enclosure

NOTE: Above-mentioned FD-302 was forwarded to FBIHQ
by form by the Tampa Office where SA [redacted] is presently
assigned.


b6
b7C

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____



REC-52

TO DEC 31 1974



b6
b7C

F B I

Date: 12/6/74

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
ATTENTION: WATERGATE UNIT
 FROM: SAC, TAMPA (67-7598)

INVESTIGATION INVOLVING 17 WIRE TAPS
 OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

Attached herewith for the Bureau is a memorandum
 submitted to me this date by Supervisor [redacted]

Above submitted for information.

REC-106

72-2190-56

JAN 7 1975

2 - Bureau (Enc. 1)

1 - Tampa

(3)

ENCLOSURE

b6
b7C

84 JAN Approved 75

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

U.S. Government Printing Office: 1972 - 455-574

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, TAMPA

DATE: 12/6/74

FROM : SA [redacted]

SUBJECT: INVESTIGATION - SPECIAL PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE

In view of the fact that I have been advised that I am to be interviewed by Special Agents from WFO assigned to investigations for the Special Prosecutor's office, the following is provided for your information:

In February of 1973, after returning from an inspection trip to Savannah, Ga., I telephonically contacted [redacted] a personal and family friend, who was at that time a [redacted] to Acting Director L. PATRICK GRAY. I invited [redacted] to my home for dinner, and we generally discussed how Mr. GRAY's confirmation hearing was going. He stated that Senator KENNEDY was giving Mr. GRAY some problems on whether or not the ^{Advises} FBI had been involved with wire tapping of 17 White House [redacted] and news media representatives. [redacted] stated that Mr. GRAY had testified that he had checked Bureau records and found no record of any such wire taps. I advised [redacted] that I had read about this in a "Time Magazine" article and hoped that Mr. GRAY was being leveled with because the word around the Bureau grapevine was that we had probably been involved in the wire taps. I also recall stating that Mr. GRAY had been seen personally checking the electronic indices at FBIHQ, so apparently there was nothing on the record of any Bureau wire taps. We briefly discussed the fact that if the White House had ordered such wire taps to stop leaks about the SALT Talks, then it would seem that such taps would be legal (at that time). We had no further discussion re that subject.

In March of 1974, I received a telephone call from [redacted] during which he advised that a [redacted] of the Special Prosecutor's office had called him in to question him regarding possible knowledge by Mr. GRAY of the 17 wire taps. [redacted] stated he advised [redacted] that the only time he had discussed this matter with Mr. GRAY was sometime in March, 1973, after GRAY had testified. He [redacted] and GRAY's other [redacted] and



3 - Tampa

(3)

[redacted]
XPER

JAN 9 1974

72-2190-56

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly under Payroll Savings Plan

5010-110

ENCLOSURE

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

[redacted] were discussing the confirmation hearing when [redacted] brought up the subject of the 17 wire taps. She told GRAY that an Assistant Director had advised her that the Bureau had been involved. [redacted] said he also had heard on the "grapevine" that the Bureau was probably involved. According to [redacted] this was his only comment to GRAY regarding the wire taps. [redacted] asked [redacted] where his information had come from, and he told [redacted] that it came from me but was strictly hearsay. This was the first that I knew that my remark had ever been repeated. In fact, I did not even recall the conversation until [redacted] reminded me of the time frame involved. [redacted] advised me that [redacted] would probably call me to confirm his [redacted] recollection.

b6
b7C

Approximately two or three days later, [redacted] of the Special Prosecutor's office called me. I recall it was at home on a Sunday afternoon. He asked if he could come by and see me in relation to a conversation with [redacted]. I advised that I lived approximately [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] He then asked if I could stop by and see him at my convenience. I went to see [redacted] during lunch about three days later. A [redacted] was also there at the time. Both [redacted] and [redacted] asked questions concerning my conversation with [redacted]. I told them exactly what I could recall. They also wanted to know if I had any specific knowledge of the alleged wire taps and if I had ever spoken to Mr. GRAY about them. I advised them that I had no knowledge of these wire taps - that I had never even met Mr. GRAY and the only thing I had told [redacted] was that there were some general rumors that the Bureau had been involved. They asked me the source of these rumors, and I advised that I had absolutely no recollection of where I heard this information, but at the time, it was a topic of general conversation between Bureau Agents and that I never expected or intended for my conversation with [redacted] to be repeated to Mr. GRAY since it was just non-specific hearsay.

b6
b7C

[redacted] asked that I keep this conversation confidential. I advised him that in the event that I was formally interviewed or required to give a formal statement, deposition, or appear before any type of hearing, I would have to make it a matter of record with the Bureau. He said he understood this, but at the present time, desired that our conversation remain confidential.

b6
b7C

I heard nothing further on this until approximately two weeks ago when [redacted] telephonically advised me that he had been reinterviewed re this and other matters

relating to Mr. GRAY's confirmation hearing by two WFO Agents working for the Special Prosecutor's office. They asked him the basis for his statement to Mr. GRAY about the Bureau possibly being involved in the wire taps, and he told them of our conversation. I asked [redacted] if he emphasized to the Agents that I had no specific information and had only been discussing the matter with him in relation to Mr. GRAY's overall confirmation problems. [redacted] indicated that he had told the Agents that I had no specific information.

b6
b7c

Since I am to be interviewed, I want you to be completely aware of the facts. If you deem it appropriate, I would like for this memorandum to be forwarded to FBIHQ for the information of the Watergate Unit. I will, of course, answer all questions re this matter within my knowledge when interviewed.

Assoc. Dir. _____

b6

Dep. AD Adm. _____

b7C

Dep. AD Inv. _____

Asst. Dir.: _____

Admin. _____

Comp. Syst. _____

Ext. Aff. _____

Files & _____

Gen. Inv. _____

Ident. _____

Inspection _____

Intell. _____

Laboratory _____

Plan. & Eval. _____

Spec. Inv. _____

Training. _____

Legal Coun. _____

Telephone Rm. _____

Director Sec'y _____

RECEIVED
INTELLIGENCE DIV.

DEC 27 1974

December 19, 1974
GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

The attached related to the investigation we are conducting at the request of Special Prosecutor [redacted] concerning possible Obstruction of Justice in the removal of the national security wiretap records from the Bureau, ultimately to [redacted] Office at the White House, in 1971. The attached reveals that interview of Assistant Attorney General Henry Petersen is necessary and on 12/18/74, the Special Prosecutor's Office requested that WFO interview Mr. Petersen. WFO is proceeding to set up the interview of Mr. Petersen as soon as possible. The interview will primarily focus on Mr. Petersen's recollection of a meeting on 5/7/73, he had with retired Special Agent Bernard Wells, who was then employed by the Department of Justice; and Deputy Assistant Attorney General Kevin T. Maroney, who was the principal prosecuting attorney for the Department in the Daniel Ellsberg Pentagon papers case.

b6
b7c

b6
b7c

F B I

Date: DECEMBER 19, 1974
PLAINTEXT

Transmit the following in _____

(Type in plaintext or code)

TELETYPE

URGENT (HANDCARRY)

Via _____

(Priority)

b6

b7c

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (72-2190)

FROM: SAC, WFO (72-194) (P)

REMOVAL OF NATIONAL SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS; OOJ. (OO:WFO)

FOR INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU, PURSUANT TO INVESTIGATIVE REQUEST OF THE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE, KEVIN T. MARONEY, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL, CRIMINAL DIVISION, WAS INTERVIEWED ON DECEMBER 18, 1974, REGARDING HIS FIRST AWARENESS OF THE SPECIAL WHITE HOUSE ORDERED WIRETAPS AND THE ELLSBERG OVERHEAR.

DURING THE COURSE OF THE INTERVIEW, MARONEY ADVISED THAT ON MAY 4, 1973, HE MET WITH FORMER ACTING DIRECTOR WILLIAM RUCKELSHAUS AND ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL HENRY PETERSEN IN THE ACTING DIRECTOR'S OFFICE. AT THAT TIME RUCKELSHAUS ADVISED BOTH PETERSEN AND MARONEY THAT HE HAD LEARNED FROM BUREAU PERSONNEL THAT SECRET WIRETAPS HAD BEEN CONDUCTED IN THE PAST AND AN INVESTIGATION WAS NOW UNDERWAY TO DETERMINE THE WHEREABOUTS OF ANY RECORDS PERTAINING TO THAT EPISODE REC-106 72-2190-57 RUCKELSHAUS INFORMED THEM THAT FORMER SA BERNARD WELLS PLAYED A MAJOR ROLE IN THOSE WIRETAPS.

JAN 7 1975

b6

b7c

①-Bureau (Handcarry)
1-Tickler

(3)

b6

b7c

Approved: Dwight M. e Sent _____ M Per _____

84 JAN 9 1975 Special Agent in Charge

GPO : 1970 O - 402-735

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE TWO WFO 72-194

AFTER THE MEETING, PETERSEN TOLD MARONEY TO FIND WELLS. MARONEY RETURNED TO HIS OFFICE AND DETERMINED THAT WELLS WAS ON ANNUAL LEAVE IN OCEAN CITY, MARYLAND. MARONEY PLACED A CALL TO WELLS AND LATER THAT NIGHT WELLS RETURNED THE CALL. MARONEY SAYS HE MAY HAVE TOLD WELLS THAT HE WANTED TO TALK TO HIM ABOUT THE WIRETAPS BUT ESSENTIALLY AND MOST IMPORTANTLY, MARONEY WANTED TO KNOW IF WELLS WAS COMING BACK TO WORK ON MAY 7, 1973. WELLS SAID HE INTENDED TO.

ON MAY 7, 1973, MARONEY AND WELLS WENT TO PETERSEN'S OFFICE WHEREUPON PETERSON INTERVIEWED WELLS REGARDING THE WIRETAPS. MARONEY DOES NOT RECALL IF PETERSEN SPECIFICALLY ASKED WELLS ABOUT THE ELLSBERG ELSUR CHECKS BUT BELIEVES THERE MAY HAVE BEEN SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT ELLSBERG AT THAT MEETING. MARONEY SAID IT DID NOT LAST LONG AND AT THE CONCLUSION, WELLS WAS TOLD TO CONTACT THE BUREAU RIGHT AWAY BECAUSE THEY WANTED TO TALK TO HIM ABOUT HIS ROLE IN THE WIRETAPS.

Approved: _____ Sent: _____ M Per: _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE THREE WFO 72-194

ACCORDING TO THE INSPECTION DIVISION INVESTIGATION, WELLS WAS NOT INTERVIEWED UNTIL MAY 9, 1973. IN THE FIRST INTERVIEW WITH THE INSPECTION DIVISION THAT MORNING WELLS VOLUNTEERED THAT HE HAD CHECKED THE RECORDS ON ELLSBERG AND FOUND THERE WERE NO OVERHEARS. (THIS WOULD HAVE BEEN AN ELSUR CHECK REQUESTED BY THE DEPARTMENT IN 1972.) THIS IS A SIGNIFICANT STATEMENT BY WELLS SINCE IT WAS NOT UNTIL LATER IN THE DAY ON MAY 9, 1973, THAT THE INSPECTION DIVISION HAD IN FACT LEARNED FROM A WFO MONITORING CLERK THAT ELLSBERG HAD BEEN OVERHEARD ON THE MORTON HALPERIN TAP.

THE ABOVE INFORMATION WAS TRANSMITTED TO THE SPECIAL PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE WHEREUPON [REDACTED] WHO IS HANDLING THIS MATTER FOR [REDACTED] THE SPECIAL PROSECUTOR, REQUESTED WFO AGENTS FOLLOW UP WITH AN INTERVIEW OF ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL HENRY PETERSEN AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

IN KEEPING WITH THE INVESTIGATIVE REQUEST OF [REDACTED] WFO CONCURS THAT THE INTERVIEW OF MR. PETERSEN IS ESSENTIAL AND UACB, WFO WILL MAKE ARRANGEMENTS TO CONDUCT SAME. BUREAU WILL BE APPRISED OF THE RESULTS.

END.

b6
b7Cb6
b7CApproved: _____ Sent: _____ M Per: _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date: DECEMBER 20, 1974

Transmit the following in _____

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPE

(HANDCARRY) URGENT

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (72-2190)

FROM: SAC, WFO (72-194) (P)

REMOVAL OF NATIONAL SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS; OOJ. (OO:WFO)

RE WFO TELETYPE, DECEMBER 19, 1974.

FOR INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU, WFO INTERVIEWED ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL CRIMINAL DIVISION HENRY E. PETERSEN, DECEMBER 19, 1974, PURSUANT TO LEAD DEVELOPED FROM INTERVIEW OF KEVIN MARONEY ON DECEMBER 18, 1974.

PETERSEN ADVISED HE RECALLS RECEIVING A TELEPHONE CALL FROM WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS EARLY PART MAY, 1973. RUCKELSHAUS REQUESTED PETERSEN COME TO HIS OFFICE FOR DISCUSSION ABOUT WIRETAPS BUREAU CONDUCTED IN THE PAST. PETERSEN CALLED MARONEY AND THEY WENT TO MEET RUCKELSHAUS. RUCKELSHAUS HAD SOMEONE ELSE FROM FBIHQ IN HIS OFFICE DURING CONFERENCE. RUCKELSHAUS REC-106 72-2190-58 SAID HE HAD STRONG RUMORS FROM PERSONS WITHIN FBIHQ THAT SECRET WIRETAPS HAD BEEN CONDUCTED IN THE PAST. PETERSEN TOLD RUCKELSHAUS HE DID NOT DEAL WITH RUMORS AND REQUESTED FACTS. PETERSEN SAID HE ORDERED RUCKELSHAUS TO INSTITUTE AN INVESTIGATION IMMEDIATELY TO DETERMINE IF THE RUMORS WERE TRUTH OR FICTION.

1-Tickler

1-Bureau (Handcarry)

(3)

b6
b7cb6
b7cb6
b7c

Approved:

*DWM/jne**Advised by**RQ**12/20/74*

Sent

M

Per

84 JAN 9 1975 Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE TWO WFO 72-194

RUCKELSHAUS, ACCORDING TO PETERSEN MAY HAVE MENTIONED W. MARK FELT'S NAME AS BEING CONNECTED WITH THE TAPS. RUCKELSHAUS SAID HE TALKED TO FELT AND LEARNED NOTHING. RUCKELSHAUS SAID THAT THE PEOPLE WHO WOULD KNOW ABOUT THE TAPS ARE OLD BUREAU OFFICIALS WHO HAVE SINCE DEPARTED. THE NAME BERNARD WELLS WAS MENTIONED AT ONE POINT AS A PERSON WHO WORKED ON THE MATTER, BUT PETERSEN SAID HE WAS NOT CERTAIN IF RUCKELSHAUS OR THE OTHER UNRECALLED BUREAU PERSON VOLUNTEERED WELLS' NAME.

PETERSEN SAID HE AND MARONEY RETURNED TO THEIR OFFICES. POSSIBLY THEY DISCUSSED, BETWEEN THEMSELVES, THE POSSIBILITY OF WELLS KNOWING SOMTHING. PETERSEN SAID HE RECALLS HAVING MARONEY LOOK FOR WELLS AND IF WELLS WAS ON ANNUAL LEAVE, PETERSEN SAID HE PROBABLY ASKED HIM TO RETURN. PETERSEN SAID IT MUST HAVE BEEN IMPORTANT TO CALL WELLS BACK BECAUSE HE, PETERSEN, DOES NOT ENJOY CALLING PERSONS BACK FROM VACATION.

THAT FOLLOWING MONDAY, (PETERSEN WAS REMINDED THAT THE DATE WAS THEN MAY 7, 1973,) WELLS APPEARED WITH MARONEY.

Approved: _____ Sent: _____ M Per: _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE THREE WFO 72-194

PETERSEN RECALLS WELLS TOLD THEM THAT THE TAPS HAD TAKEN PLACE: THAT HE WORKED FOR W.C. SULLIVAN AND THAT THE RECORDS REGARDING THESE WIRETAPS HAD BEEN TURNED OVER TO MARDIAN. PETERSEN SAID HE BELIEVES ELLSBERG'S NAME WAS MENTIONED, BUT CANNOT SAY WHO INITIATED THE DISCUSSION ABOUT ELLSBERG. PETERSEN SAID HE TOOK "A FLYER" WHEN HE SPOKE TO WELLS AND IT PAID OFF. HE SAID HE THEN CALLED SULLIVAN AND SULLIVAN CONFIRMED THE FACT THAT THE TAPS HAD TAKEN PLACE; AND THAT ON ORDERS OF THE WHITE HOUSE, THE RECORDS WERE TURNED OVER TO ROBERT MARDIAN. PETERSEN SAID SULLIVAN DID NOT MENTION ANYTHING TO HIM ABOUT THE LATE DIRECTOR HOOVER THREATENING TO REVEAL THE EXISTENCE OF ANY SUCH TAPS.

THE NEXT MEETING WITH RUCKELSHAUS WAS A FEW DAYS LATER. (ACCORDING TO THE LOGS THIS HAPPENED ON MAY 9, 1973). RUCKELSHAUS GAVE PETERSEN A MEMORANDUM WHICH IN EFFECT SAID THAT THE FBI INVESTIGATION DETERMINED THAT ELLSBERG HAD BEEN OVERHEARD ON A WIRETAP DISCUSSING A "POT PARTY." PETERSEN SAID HE READ THE DOCUMENT AND RUCKELSHAUS WANTED TO KNOW WHAT OBLIGATION THE GOVERNMENT HAD TO NOTIFY THE COURT IN CALIFORNIA. PETERSEN

Approved: _____ Sent: _____ M Per: _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE FOUR WFO 72-194

SAID IT HAD TO BE BROUGHT TO THE COURT'S ATTENTION, SINCE THE HUNT DISCLOSURES ABOUT THE DR. LEWIS FIELDING BURGLARY ALREADY HAD JUDGE BYRNE UPSET. PETERSEN SAID HE ALSO BELIEVED AT THAT TIME THE MEMO SUBMITTED BY RUCKELSHAUS CONCERNING THE ELLSBERG OVERHEAR APPEARED TO BE QUITE "A SELECTIVE ISSUE." PETERSEN DOES NOT RECALL PERSONALLY HAVING THE DISCLOSURE PASSED ON TO THE COURT AND BELIEVES MARONEY MAY HAVE HANDLED THIS MATTER.

FURTHER, HE IS NOT POSITIVE BUT BELIEVES RUCKELSHAUS CALLED HIM AFTER THE MARDIAN AND [REDACTED] INTERVIEWS AND INFORDED ^m HIM OF THE FACT THAT THE RECORDS WERE IN THE WHITE HOUSE.

PETERSEN RECALLS CALLING J. FRED BUZHARDT, THEN COUNSEL TO RICHARD M. NIXON, AND REQUESTING HIM TO CHECK THE [REDACTED] FILES FOR THE WIRETAP LOGS IN PARTICULAR FOR ANY ELLSBERG OVERHEARS. PETERSEN SAID HE DID NOT HEAR BACK FROM BUZHARDT UNTIL AFTER JUDGE BYRNE DISMISSED THE CASE ON THAT FRIDAY, MAY 11, 1973. AFTER THE DISMISSAL, PETERSEN RECEIVED A MEMO FROM BUZHARDT WHICH STATED IN EFFECT THAT BUZHARDT HAD LOCATED A DOCUMENT WHICH BORE ELLSBERG'S NAME AND APPARENTLY WAS A LOG OF SORTS.

b6
b7cApproved: _____ Sent: _____ M Per: _____
Special Agent in Charge

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
*(Type in plaintext or code)*Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE FIVE WFO 72-194

PETERSEN SAID AFTER RUCKELSHAUS RECOVERED THE RECORDS, HE
NO LONGER PLAYED AN ACTIVE ROLE IN THE MATTER.

END.

Approved: _____ Sent: _____ M Per: _____
Special Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE WASHINGTON FIELD	OFFICE OF ORIGIN WASHINGTON FIELD	DATE 1/3/75	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 11/18/74 - 12/31/74
TITLE OF CASE REMOVAL OF NATIONAL SECURITY WIRETAP RECORDS		REPORT MADE BY and [redacted]	TYPED BY [redacted]
		CHARACTER OF CASE OOJ (ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION-WATERGATE UNIT)	

REFERENCE: WFO report of SAs [redacted] and
[redacted] dated 11/22/74.

b6
b7C

-P-

ENCLOSURES:TO BUREAU

Enclosed for the Bureau for transmittal to the Watergate Special Prosecutor's Office are the following documents:

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
							PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO

APPROVED	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE:		72-2190-59	REC-106
(4) Bureau (72-2190) (ENC. 43) 2-WFO (72-194)		JAN 20 1975	

Dissemination Record of Attached Report				Notations
Agency	11/20/74	11/20/74	11/20/74	[redacted]
Request Recd.	11/20/74	11/20/74	11/20/74	[redacted]
Date Fwd.	11/20/74	11/20/74	11/20/74	[redacted]
How Fwd.				[redacted]
By				[redacted]

b6
b7C

84 JAN 27 1975

COVER PAGE

WFO 72-194

1) Xerox copy of the official leave record for COURTLAND J. JONES for the period covering 5/9/69 through 2/10/71.

2) Xerox copy of the leave record for [redacted] for the period covering 5/9/69 through 2/10/71.

3) Xerox copy of Bureau airtel to Boston, dated 5/12/72 captioned "MC LEK", Bureau file number [redacted]

b6
b7C

4) Xerox copy of Bureau teletype to SAC. Baltimore, dated 2/13/73 captioned "MC LEK", Bureau file [redacted]

b3
b7E

5) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to the Bureau, dated 2/15/73, [redacted] file [redacted] captioned "JUNE; MC LEK".

6) Xerox copy of a letter from the Acting Director, FBI, dated 2/21/73 to the Assistant Attorney General, Internal Security Division, captioned "United States vs. ANTHONY JOSEPH RUSSO, JR.", file number [redacted]

b3
b6

7) Xerox copy of a memorandum dated 5/23/72 from WILLIAM OLSON to the Acting Director, FBI, captioned "United States vs. ANTHONY J. RUSSO."

b3
b7E

8) Xerox copy of Bureau teletype, dated 5/25/72 to SAC, Baltimore, Bureau file number [redacted] captioned "MC LEK".

b3
b7E

9) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 5/26/72 captioned "MC LEK", file number [redacted]

10) Xerox copy of a letter from Acting Director, FBI, to Assistant Attorney General, Internal Security Division, dated 6/5/72. captioned United States vs. ANTHONY JOSEPH RUSSO, Bureau file [redacted]

b3
b7E

11) Xerox copy of teletype from Acting Director, FBI, to SAC. Baltimore, dated 5/25/72, captioned "MC LEK", Bureau file [redacted]

12) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to the Acting Director, dated 5/26/72, captioned "MC LEK", [redacted] file [redacted]

b3
b7E

13) Xerox copy of a teletype from the Acting Director, FBI, to SAC. Baltimore, dated 9/27/72, captioned "MC LEK", Bureau file [redacted]

WFO 72-194

14) Xerox copy of Acting Director, FBI, teletype to SAC, Baltimore, dated 7/12/72, captioned "MC LEK", Bureau file number [redacted]

b3
b7E

15) Xerox copy of WFO teletype, dated 7/14/72 to the Acting Director, FBI, captioned "JUNE; MC LEK."

16) Xerox copy of San Francisco airtel to Acting Director, FBI, dated 7/19/72 captioned "MC LEK," [redacted] file [redacted]

17) Xerox copy of Acting Director, FBI, teletype to SAC, Boston, dated 8/8/72 captioned "ME LEK," Bureau file [redacted]

b3
b7E

18) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 8/9/72 captioned "JUNE; MC LEK."

19) Xerox copy of Acting Director, FBI, teletype to SAC, New York, dated 9/29/72, captioned "MC LEK", Bureau file [redacted]

20) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 10/2/72, captioned "MC LEK," [redacted] file [redacted]

b3
b7E

21) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 10/3/72, captioned "JUNE; MC LEK".

22) Xerox copy of Los Angeles teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 10/4/72, [redacted] file [redacted] captioned "MC LEK".

23) Xerox copy of San Francisco teletype to the Acting Director, FBI, dated 10/3/72, [redacted] file [redacted] captioned "MC LEK".

b3
b7E

24) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 10/4/72, [redacted] file [redacted] captioned "MC LEK."

25) Xerox copy of teletype from Acting Director, FBI, to SAC, New York, dated 9/29/72, captioned "MC LEK."

b3
b7E

26) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 10/2/72, [redacted] file [redacted] captioned "MC LEK."

WFO 72-194

27) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to the Acting Director, dated 10/3/72 captioned "MC LEK", [] file [] b3
b7E

28) Xerox copy of San Francisco teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 10/3/72, [] file [] captioned "MC LEK".

29) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 10/4/72, [] file [] captioned "MC LEK."

30) Xerox copy of WFO airtel to Acting Director, FBI, dated 10/5/72, [] file [] captioned "MC LEK." b3
b7E

31) Xerox copy of Acting Director, FBI, teletype to SAC, Baltimore, dated 2/13/73, Bureau file number [] captioned "JUNE-MC LEK".

32) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 2/15/73, [] file [] captioned "JUNE-MC LEK."

33) Xerox copy of Acting Director, FBI, teletype to SAC, Baltimore, dated 5/8/73, captioned "JUNE-ELSUR-MC LEK", Bureau file [] b3
b7E

34) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 5/9/73, [] file [] captioned "ELSUR-MC LEK".

35) Xerox copy of WFO airtel to Acting Director, FBI, dated 10/2/72, [] file [] captioned "MC LEK."

36) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 5/10/73, [] file [] captioned "ELSUR-MC LEK." b3
b7E

37) Xerox copy of Acting Director, FBI, teletype to SAC, Baltimore, dated 9/27/72, captioned "JUNE-MC LEK."

38) Xerox copy of Acting Director, FBI, teletype to SAC, Baltimore, dated 7/12/72, captioned "JUNE-MC LEK."

39) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 7/14/72, captioned "JUNE-MC LEK", [] file [] b3
b7E

40) Xerox copy of San Francisco airtel to Acting Director, FBI, dated 7/19/72, [] file [] captioned "MC LEK".

WFO 72-194

41) Xerox copy of Acting Director, FBI, teletype to SAC, Boston, dated 8/8/72, Bureau file [redacted] captioned "JUNE-MC LEK".

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

42) Xerox copy of WFO teletype to Acting Director, FBI, dated 8/9/72, [redacted] file [redacted] captioned "JUNE-MC LEK."

43) Xerox copy of memorandum from E. S. MILLER to Mr. W. MARK FELT captioned "ELLSBERG CASE", dated 5/21/73, Bureau file [redacted]

ADMINISTRATIVE:

The investigative period of this report precedes the date of referenced report in order to incorporate FD 302s that were still being transcribed at the time the referenced report was submitted.

This matter continues to receive preferred attention under the direction of the Special Agent in Charge of the Washington Field Office.

In a conference held with [redacted] Assistant Watergate Special Prosecutor handling this matter and SAs of the Washington Field Office on 12/10/74, at [redacted] Office, he advised that with respect to certain investigation requested in the WSPO letter of 10/29/74, his office would undertake interviews of Assistant Director RAYMOND WANNALL and Bureau Supervisor [redacted]

b6
b7C

With respect to the interview of Tampa Supervisor OLIVER B. REVELL, III, WFO requested Inspector RICHARD E. LONG, on 12/11/74, to have REVELL prepare an FD 302 reflecting his contacts with DANIEL M. ARMSTRONG, and his, REVELL's, knowledge of the 17 national security wiretaps.

LEADS:

WASHINGTON FIELD

AT WASHINGTON, D.C. WFO will continue to pursue leads set forth in Special Prosecutor's request of 10/29/74.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

~~SECRET~~

Copy to:

SAs [redacted] b6
Report of: and [redacted] Office: Washington, D.C. b7C
Date: 1/3/75

Field Office File #: 72-194 Bureau File #: 72-2190

Title: REMOVAL OF NATIONAL SECURITY
WIRETAP RECORDS

Character: OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

Synopsis: Correlation of FBI Headquarters and WFO elsur checks set forth. Extensive investigation conducted at WFO to locate 10 communications dealing with elsur checks in DANIEL ELLSBERG case. Pertinent documents located and accounted for in separate file created by Inspector. Investigation to identify initials of Bureau personnel on "SPECOV" documents set forth. Review of U.S. Magistrate PETER W. PRINCI's files at Boston, Massachusetts, reflect attorneys for ELLSBERG filed motion for denial of removal and requested hearing on question of use of wiretapping and electronic surveillance by the Government in obtaining indictment of ELLSBERG. On 8/4/71 PRINCI's decision was released in form of "memorandum" approving ELLSBERG's removal and denial of ELLSBERG's motion. SAC RALPH RAMPTON, New York, advised he supervised ELLSBERG case while ASAC in Boston, Massachusetts. RAMPTON denied telephoning FBIHQ and informing former AD WILLIAM C. SULLIVAN or former SAC CHARLES BRENNAN of ELLSBERG's wiretap motion. [redacted] SA, Boston, Massachusetts, denied alerting FBIHQ of ELLSBERG's motion by telephone, but could have done so by teletype in normal course of business. [redacted] did not discuss ELLSBERG matters with anyone higher than RAYMOND WANNALL and [redacted], at FBIHQ. SA [redacted] Boston, Massachusetts, advised he covered removal hearing and reported all court decisions and motions to SA [redacted]. Occasionally [redacted] was on conference call with FBIHQ and [redacted] but does not recall informing FBIHQ of ELLSBERG's motion on the telephone. LAWRENCE A. COHEN, AUSA, Boston, Massachusetts, advised he was one of three Government attorneys handling ELLSBERG case in Boston. When ELLSBERG filed motion to dismiss indictment prior to removal hearing, COHEN said he did not advise former Assistant Attorney General ROBERT MARDIAN of this fact

b6
b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

Exempt from GDS Category A

Date of Declassification Indefinite

b6
b7C

WFO 72-194

but one of the other Assistants may have alerted the Department because COHEN did not want to litigate the issue at the hearing. COHEN recalled sometime later he received a telephone call from unrecalled person at the Department who advised him "off the record" no wiretap records existed as ELLSBERG was alleging. JOHN L. MARTIN, Chief, Statutory Unit, Criminal Division, U.S. Department of Justice, recalled former Assistant Attorney General ROBERT MARDIAN requested a "briefing" on the Pentagon Papers case on a Saturday morning in July, 1971. MARDIAN advised MARTIN that he, MARDIAN, was going to visit the President at San Clemente, California. MARTIN assumed MARDIAN would brief the President on this case. MARTIN recalled using a briefing book and occasionally had to send down to his own office for certain documents. MARTIN recalled MARDIAN placed some of these documents in his briefcase, however, MARTIN does not know the identities of these documents. MARTIN prepared Departmental requests for elsur checks to FBIHQ. MARTIN first heard of ELLSBERG overheat, and HALPERIN wiretap when that information became public knowledge. MARTIN said the allegation by former SA [redacted] that [redacted] met [redacted] in MARTIN's office to discuss a "bag job" on Dr. LEWIS FIELDING, was totally and completely false. MARTIN denied having contact with AUSAs handling ELLSBERG's removal hearing. STERLING B. DONAHUE, former Bureau Supervisor, first learned of ELLSBERG overheat and HALPERIN tap when this information was made public. In 1970, former Assistant Director W. C. SULLIVAN told DONAHUE that the Bureau was conducting "highly sensitive coverage" on a "need to know" basis. DONAHUE did not inquire or gain access to the coverage. BERNARD WELLS, CATHERINE HENLEY and possibly [redacted] had access to safe where SULLIVAN kept the sensitive coverage files. SULLIVAN departed FBIHQ evening 9/30/71. On 10/1/71 DONAHUE asked HENLEY to open the safe containing the sensitive documents. They were discovered missing. HENLEY said WELLS had taken the records to Division Five a month ago but thought they had been returned. During confirmation hearings of L. PATRICK GRAY, III, "skull" sessions were held. DONAHUE recalled one such session wherein [redacted] raised a question concerning the 17 wiretaps. GRAY replied "I'm going to have to stay with what I said." DONAHUE examined and filed documents in GRAY's Office upon GRAY's resignation and recalled nothing about a sensitive wiretap memo in GRAY's files. LEONARD M. WALTERS, former AD, first learned of "sensitive wiretaps" on 5/4/73 from EDWARD MILLER and TOM SMITH, in conference with WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS, then Acting Director, FBI. WALTERS instituted investigation to locate missing records on 5/4/73.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

WFO 72-194

[redacted] recalled statement at executive conference by GRAY during confirmation hearings to the effect that he, GRAY, lied at the Congressional Hearings to protect the "integrity and honor of the FBI." HENRY E. PETERSEN, AAG, Criminal Division, and KEVIN MARONEY, Deputy Attorney General, Criminal Division, USDJ, both learned of sensitive wiretaps on 5/4/73 in conference with RUCKELSHAUS. PETERSEN and MARONEY confirmed sensitive wiretaps existed through their interview of BERNARD WELLS who was then employed in MARONEY's section at Justice Department. PETERSEN and MARONEY first heard of HALPERIN tap and ELLSBERG overheard on 5/9/73 from RUCKELSHAUS. However, ELLSBERG's name possibly developed in interview of WELLS on 5/7/73. [redacted] present Bureau employee, advised she probably prepared retirement letter of WELLS but recalls no discussion with WELLS on day he submitted his [redacted] letter. Mr. J. T. SMITH, former [redacted] to ELLIOT RICHARDSON, recalls attending conference regarding securing of files at White House wherein RUCKELSHAUS may have mentioned he was looking into some wiretaps allegedly conducted by FBI for White House. "Old heads" around FBI had told RUCKELSHAUS that "extraordinary wiretap work done" while J. EDGAR HOOVER was Director. SMITH served as [redacted] between RICHARDSON, USDJ, FBI and White House. RUCKELSHAUS would call SMITH with information regarding attempt to confirm wiretaps. SMITH would relay to RICHARDSON. SMITH recalls meeting on 5/4/73 wherein RUCKELSHAUS confirmed wiretaps of newsmen and government officials during NIXON administration. However, records of wiretaps had been removed from FBI. At same point in time RUCKELSHAUS called to tell SMITH investigation determined [redacted] had been wiretapped and DANIEL ELLSBERG was overheard on that tap. Further determined that former Assistant to Director of FBI WILLIAM C. SULLIVAN had given records of wiretaps to HARDING. SMITH recalls seeing memo or logs regarding ELLSBERG overheard. SMITH made notes of his conversations with RUCKELSHAUS and others concerning the investigation to locate wiretap records and would furnish them to FBI.

-P-

lb

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

WFO 72-194

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGES</u>
I. INVESTIGATION CONCERNING FBIHQ COMMUNICATIONS REFLECTING ELSUR CHECKS CONDUCTED IN "MC LEK" CASE.....	2 - 10
II. INVESTIGATION CONCERNING WFO COMMUNICATIONS REFLECTING ELSUR CHECKS CONDUCTED IN "MC LEK" CASE.....	11 - 47
III. INVESTIGATION CONCERNING DOCUMENTS LOCATED IN FBIHQ "SPECOV" FILE.....	48 - 56
IV. INVESTIGATION CONCERNING DANIEL ELLSBERG'S REMOVAL HEARING ON AUGUST 4, 1971, AT BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS.....	57 - 71
V. MISCELLANEOUS.....	72 - 106

DETAILS:

1. INVESTIGATION CONCERNING FBIHQ
COMMUNICATIONS REFLECTING ELSUR
CHECKS CONDUCTED IN "MC LEK"
CASE.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

12/4/74

Date of transcription _____

Special Agent (SA) Supervisor [redacted]
 Intelligence Division (Division Five), FBI Headquarters
 (FBIHQ). Room 4049, J. Edgar Hoover Building, telephone number
 [redacted], made available one Xerox copy each of the following
 serials from Bureau file number [redacted]

 b3
 b6
 b7C
 b7E

Serial number 4157--A two page Bureau teletype to Baltimore and thirteen other offices, including the Washington Field Office (WFO), dated February 13, 1973, and captioned, "MC LEK (NATIONALITIES INTELLIGENCE SECTION)." This teletype instructs receiving offices to update electronic surveillance (elsur) checks regarding DANIEL ELLSBERG and ANTHONY JOSEPH RUSSO, JR., their attorneys of record, agents, and employees.

Serial number 4173--A one page WFO teletype to the Bureau, dated February 15, 1973, and captioned, "JUNE; MC LEK (NATIONALITIES INTELLIGENCE SECTION)." This teletype states that elsur checks were updated and negative on ELLSBERG, RUSSO, LEONARD B. BOUDIN, MORTON HALPERIN, H. PETER YOUNG, CHARLES R. NESSON, and CHARLES E. GOODELL.

Serial number 4177--A two page letter from the Bureau to the Assistant Attorney General, Internal Security Division, dated February 21, 1973, and captioned, "United States vs. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr. and Daniel Ellsberg, Electronic Surveillance." This letter states, in part, that "Our records reveal that the attorneys of record, their agents and employees, RUSSO and ELLSBERG, have not been the subjects of direct electronic coverage other than those incidents previously described in referenced letters. Our records further reveal that no premises in which these individuals were known to have had a proprietary interest have been subjects of electronic surveillance by this Bureau."

Serial number 3664--A three page letter, dated May 23, 1972, from A. WILLIAM OLSON, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Internal Security Division, to the Bureau, which requests elsur checks on DANIEL ELLSBERG and ANTHONY JOSEPH RUSSO, JR., for the period "March 1, 1969, to present."

Interviewed on 11/29/74 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 72-194

SA [redacted]

12/2/74

 b6
 b7C

by _____

Date dictated _____

WFO 72-194

2

Serial number absent or not legible--A three page Bureau teletype, dated May 25, 1972, to the SAC, Baltimore, and 19 other offices, including WFO, which instructs receiving offices to conduct elsur checks in conformance with information contained in serial number 3664, mentioned above.

Serial number 3607--A one page WFO teletype to the Bureau, Los Angeles, and Boston, dated May 26, 1972, which reflects, in part, that the elsur checks regarding ELLSBERG and RUSSO were negative.

Serial number 3628--A two page Bureau letter to the Acting Assistant Attorney General, Internal Security Division, dated June 5, 1972, and entitled, "United States vs. Anthony Joseph Russo, Jr., and Daniel Ellsberg, Electronic Surveillance." This letter reflects that elsur checks concerning RUSSO and ELLSBERG were negative.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 12/10/741

Special Agent (SA) Supervisor [redacted] Intelligence Division (Division 5), FBI Headquarters (FBIHQ), Room 4049, J. Edgar Hoover Building, telephone number [redacted] [redacted] was requested to provide three Xerox copies of each of the following communications from the Bureau file, presumably file number [redacted] in connection with an on-going investigation being conducted at the request of the Watergate Special Prosecutor's Office (WSPO):

- 1) Bureau teletype to Baltimore, et al, dated July 12, 1972.
- 2) WFO teletype to Bureau and Los Angeles, July 14, 1972.
- 3) San Francisco airtel to Bureau, July 19, 1972, or July 21, 1972.
- 4) Bureau teletype to Boston, New York, and WFO, August 8, 1972.
- 5) WFO teletype to Bureau and Los Angeles, August 9, 1972.
- 6) Bureau teletype to Baltimore, et al, September 27, 1972.
- 7) Bureau teletype to New York, WFO, et al, September 29, 1972.
- 8) WFO teletype to Bureau, October 2, 1972.
- 9) WFO teletype to Bureau and New York, October 3, 1972.
- 10) San Francisco teletype to Bureau, et al, October 4, 1972.

All of the above described communications should be captioned "June, McLek, SIO."

Interviewed on 12/9/74 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 72-194

by SA [redacted] Date dictated 12/9/74

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

I

Date of transcription 12/10/74

[redacted] Clerk, Intelligence Division
 (Division 5), FBI Headquarters ("FEHQ"), Room 4047, J. Edgar Hoover Building, made available three Xerox copies of each of the following serials from Bureau file [redacted]

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

- 1) Serial number 3846, a ten page teletype dated July 12, 1972, from the Acting Director to the SACs Baltimore and 13 other offices including Washington Field Office (WFO), captioned "McLek, SIO."
- 2) Serial number 3876, a one page teletype dated July 14, 1972, from WFO to the Acting Director and Los Angeles, captioned "June, McLek, SIO."
- 3) Serial number 3908, a two page airtel dated July 19, 1972, from the SAC San Francisco, to the Acting Director, captioned "McLek, SIO."
- 4) Serial number 3926, a three page teletype dated August 8, 1972, from the Acting Director to the SACs Boston, New York, and WFO, captioned "McLek, SIO."
- 5) Serial number 3919, a one page teletype dated August 9, 1972, from WFO to the Acting Director, captioned "June, McLek, SIO."
- 6) Serial number 3953, a five page teletype dated September 27, 1972, from the Acting Director to the SACs Baltimore and 13 other offices, including WFO, captioned "McLek, SIO."
- 7) Serial number 3955, a one page teletype dated ~~September 29, 1972, from the Acting Director to the SACs~~
 New York, WFO, Chicago, Los Angeles, and San Francisco, captioned "McLek, SIO."
- 8) Serial number 3988, a one page teletype dated October 1, 1972, from WFO to the Acting Director, captioned "McLek, SIO."

Interviewed on 12/9/74 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 72-194by S.A. [redacted]

6

Date dictated

12/10/74b6
b7C

WFO 72-194

2

9) Serial number 3986, a one page teletype dated October 3, 1972, from WFO to the Acting Director and New York, captioned "McLek, SIO."

10) Serial number 4023, a one page teletype dated October 4, 1972, from Los Angeles to the Acting Director and San Francisco, captioned "McLek, SIO (Buded October 4, instant)." It is noted that WFO is not designated as a recipient of this teletype.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date of transcription 12/10/74

Special Agent (SA) Supervisor [redacted] Intelligence Division (Division 5), FBI Headquarters (FBIHQ), Room 4049, J. Edgar Hoover Building, telephone [redacted] was telephonically advised that on December 9, 1974, his clerk, [redacted] apparently erred with respect to one of the serials requested on December 9, 1974. SA [redacted] was advised that the serial being sought was the Bureau copy of a teletype dated October 3 or 4, 1972, from San Francisco to the Director and 10 or 11 other offices, including WFO, concerning electronic surveillance checks conducted in connection with the "McLek" case, Bureau file [redacted]. It is noted that on December 9, 1974, Clerk [redacted] furnished copies of a Los Angeles teletype (not San Francisco), dated October 4, 1972.

SA [redacted] advised that he would have [redacted] obtain copies of the desired serial and furnish same to WFO.

b3
b6
b7C
b7Eb6
b7C

Interviewed on 12/10/74 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 72-194

by SA [redacted] Date dictated 12/10/74 b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 12/12/741

[redacted] Clerk, Intelligence Division (Division 5), FBI Headquarters (FBIHQ), furnished to the Washington Field Office (WFO) via Bureau mail, three Xerox copies each of the following serials from Bureau file [redacted]

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

1) Serial number 3981, a nitel dated October 3, 1972, from San Francisco to the Acting Director, Boston, Detroit, Las Vegas, Los Angeles, Newark, New Haven, New York, Phoenix, Portland, and WFO captioned "McLek, SIO."

2) Serial number 4004, a teletype dated October 4, 1972, from Washington Field to the Acting Director and San Francisco, captioned "McLek, SIO."

Interviewed on 12/10-11/74 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 72-194

by SA [redacted]Date dictated 12/11/74b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 1/2/751

In conformance with a request made of him on December 18, 1974, Special Agent Supervisor [redacted] Intelligence Division, FBI Headquarters, furnished through normal Bureau mail channels a copy of a five page memorandum dated May 21, 1973, from E. S. MILLER to Mr. W. MARK FELT entitled "Ellsberg Case."

b6
b7C

Interviewed on 12/31/74 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 72-194

by SA [redacted] 10 Date dictated 12/31/74

b6
b7C

II. INVESTIGATION CONCERNING WFO
COMMUNICATIONS REFLECTING
ELSUR CHECKS CONDUCTED IN
"MCLEK" CASE.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

11/19/74

Date of transcription _____

[redacted] On November 18, 1974, Volume 76 of [redacted]
 file [redacted] was reviewed and Xerox copies were
 made of the following serials:

b3
b7E

1) Serial number 2478, a one page Bureau airtel to Boston, Los Angeles, New York, and WFO, dated May 12, 1972, and captioned, "MC LEK, SIO." This communication concerns the Automatic Data Processing (ADP) printout of telephone numbers in connection with the "MC LEK" investigation and instructs receiving offices to destroy printouts dated January 4, 1972.

2) Serial number 2503, a four page Bureau teletype to Baltimore and 19 other offices, including WFO, dated May 25, 1972, and captioned, "MC LEK, SIO." This communication instructs receiving offices to conduct electronic surveillance checks on DANIEL ELLSBERG and ANTHONY JOSEPH RUSSO, JR., for the period covering March 1, 1969 to present (May 25, 1972).

3) Serial number 2506, a one page teletype from WFO to the Bureau, Los Angeles, and Boston, dated May 26, 1972, and captioned "MC LEK-SIO." This teletype represents WFO's response to the Bureau teletype described in number 2 above and reflects that "WFO elsur indices negative regarding DANIEL ELLSBERG and ANTHONY JOSEPH RUSSO, JR., aka."

Interviewed on 11/18/74 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 72-194

SA [redacted] Date dictated 11/19/74
 by _____

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

12/9/74

Date of transcription _____

A search was conducted of Washington Field Office (WFO) files to locate the following communications or copies thereof:

1) Bureau teletype dated February 13, 1973, to the Special Agents in Charge (SACs) Baltimore and 13 other offices, including WFO, and captioned, "MC LEK (NATIONALITIES INTELLIGENCE SECTION)."

2) WFO teletype to the Bureau, dated February 15, 1973, and captioned, "JUNE; MC LEK (NATIONALITIES INTELLIGENCE SECTION)."

It is noted that copies of both of the above communications had previously been obtained from the appropriate FBI Headquarters file, Bureau file number [redacted]. The communication described in number one above was serialized in the Bureau file as serial number 4157 and the communication described in number two above was serialized in the Bureau file as number 4173. It is noted that the WFO teletype described in number two above bears [redacted] file number [redacted] the file number assigned to the Pentagon Papers case involving DANIEL ELLSBERG which case was code-named "MC LEK."

b3
b7E

In an effort to locate the above described two communications in WFO files, Volume 78 of [redacted] file [redacted] containing serials 2603 through 2659, where the communications in question should logically and chronologically be located, was reviewed and the following observations were noted:

b3
b7E

Serial 2657 is the WFO yellow copy of a one page airtel dated January 30, 1973, from WFO to the Acting Director, FBI, and concerns a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari regarding one SAMUEL L. POPKIN.

Serial number 2658 is a two page nitel dated March 23, 1973, from Los Angeles to the Acting Director and WFO, captioned, "MC LEK, SIO." The file number in the lower right

11/18/74-12/3/74 Washington, D.C.

WFO 72-194

Interviewed on _____

SAS [redacted]

File # _____

b6

and [redacted]

12/3/74

b7C

by _____

Date dictated _____

WFO 72-194

2

hand corner of page one of this communication is reflected, of course, as [redacted] but the serial appears to have been originally serialized as number 2660. The number 2660 is written in black ink and two horizontal blue ink lines run through this serial number. Appearing above this crossed out number is the number 2658 written in blue ink.

b3
b7E

Serial number 2659 is a one page blue WFO nitel to the Director and Los Angeles, dated March 26, 1973, and captioned, "MC LEK, SIO." The number 2659 is written in blue ink and there are no indications that this number has been changed.

Thus, chronologically, the two communications in question should appear, according to their respective dates, as serials 2658 and 2659 in [redacted] file [redacted]. A serial by serial check of Volumes 77, 78, and 79, failed to disclose the existence of either communication in question.

b3
b7E

Towards the possibility that the communications being sought might appear in WFO's "JUNE" file, [redacted] file [redacted] Sub G, particularly since the word "JUNE" appears in the title of communication number two above, a search was conducted of WFO file 66-779, Sub G, and the following observations were noted:

b3
b7E

Serials in this file pertaining to the electronic surveillance (elsur) checks conducted in connection with the "MC LEK" case are serialized as 451A, 451B, 451C, and 451D. These serials are contained in Volume 22, and are briefly described as follows:

Serial 451A is the yellow WFO copy of a five page WFO airtel to the Bureau dated July 12, 1971. This airtel reflects the results of WFO elsur checks on SUSAN MARY SHEEHAN, Dr. LESLIE H. GELB, CHARLES M. COOKE, SIDNEY ZION, SPENCER BEDELL MARX, PAUL WARNKE, CORNELIUS MAHONEY SHEEHAN and MORTON HALPERIN.

Serial number 451B is the yellow WFO copy of a three page WFO airtel to the Bureau dated August 1, 1971. This airtel reflects the results of WFO elsur checks on Dr. ROBERT U. AKERET, JAN L. BUTLER, WALTER ALLEN GILMORE, Mrs. LOUIS MARX (nee IDELLA RUTH BLAKADDER), SAMUEL LEWIS POPKIN, YVONNE MARIANNE SVENLE, and K. DUN GIFFORD.

WFO 72-194

3

Serial 451C is the yellow WFO copy of a nine page WFO airtel to the Bureau, dated August 4, 1971. This airtel reflects the results of WFO elsur checks with regard to Professor RICHARD ANDERSON FALK, RALPH STAVINS, and MARCUS RASKIN.

Serial 451D is the yellow WFO copy of a two page WFO airtel to the Bureau, dated September 13, 1971, and contains the results of WFO elsur checks concerning DAVID HALBERSTAM.

All of the above described serials, 451A through 451D, are captioned "ELSUR" "MC LEK, NATIONALITIES INTELLIGENCE."

Nothing concerning the "MC LEK" case is contained in any of the other volumes of the 35 volume 66-779, Sub G file, subsequent to the above described September 13, 1971, airtel, serial number 451D.

Towards the possibility that the two communications being sought were inadvertently misfiled in another volume of 66-779, Sub G, the following volumes of this file were personally reviewed by the indicated Agents on the indicated dates with negative results:

<u>Volume</u>	<u>Reviewed by</u>	<u>Date Reviewed</u>
1 through 7	SA	November 21, 1974 ^{b6}
8 through 11	SA	November 29, 1974 ^{b7c}
12 through 21	SA	December 3, 1974
22	SA	November 18, 1974
23 and 24	SA	December 3, 1974
25	SA	December 3, 1974
26	SA	December 3, 1974
27	SA	December 3, 1974
28	SA	December 3, 1974
29	SA	December 3, 1974
29B and 30	SA	November 29, 1974
31	SA	December 3, 1974
32	SA	December 3, 1974
33	SA	December 3, 1974
34	SA	December 3, 1974
34A-1	SA	December 3, 1973
34A-2 and 35	SA	December 3, 1974

It is noted that there is no Volume 29A; furthermore, the last serial contained in Volume 29 is 530R and Volume 29B begins with serial 531.

WFO 72-194

4

Also reviewed were the following additional files which are maintained in the same cabinet with the above listed Sub G files:

Main file volumes 1,3, and 5	SA	December 3, 1974 ^{b6} ^{b7c}
Main file volumes 2 and 4	SA	December 3, 1974
66-779, Sub H	SA	December 3, 1974
66-779, Sub J	SA	December 3, 1974

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

Date of transcription 12/9/74

Supervisor, Pending Files
 Section, Washington Field Office (WFO), FBI, was exhibited
 volume 77 of [redacted] file [redacted] which contains serials
 2551 through 2602, and volume 79 of the same file, which
 contains serials 2603 through 2659. [redacted] attention
 was directed to the following:

1) Serial number 2557 is permanently charged
 out and an FD-5, File-Serial Charge Out Slip, is in its
 place. This FD-5 reflects that on February 21, 1973, a
 teletype from the Director to Baltimore and 13 other offices,
 including WFO, dated July 12, 1972, and captioned "June,
 McLek, SIO," was placed on permanent charge out to WFO
 file 66-779, Sub G. The initials [redacted] appear on the
 FD-5.

2) Serial number 2561 is replaced by an FD-5
 which reflects that this communication was placed on permanent
 charge out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, on February 21, 1973.
 The FD-5 describes this serial as a WFO teletype to the
 Director and Los Angeles, dated July 14, 1972, and captioned
 "June, McLek, SIO." The initials [redacted] appear on the FD-5.

3) Serial number 2563, described as a San Francisco
 airtel to the Director, dated July 19, 1972 (the date July 21,
 1972, also appears on the FD-5), and captioned "June, McLek,
 SIO," was also permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779,
 Sub G, on February 21, 1973. The initials [redacted] also appear
 on this FD-5.

4) Serial number 2570, a Bureau teletype to
 Boston, New York, and WFO, dated August 8, 1972, and cap-
 tioned "June, McLek, SIO," was placed on permanent charge
 out on February 21, 1973, to WFO file 66-779, Sub G. The
 FD-5 reflecting this charge out bears the initials [redacted]

5) Serial number 2571, a WFO teletype to the
 Director and Los Angeles, dated August 9, 1972, and captioned
 "June, McLek, SIO," is also replaced by an FD-5 reflecting
 that this serial was placed on permanent charge out to WFO
 file 66-779, Sub G, on February 21, 1973. This charge out

Interviewed on 12/5-6/74 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 72-194

by SA [redacted]

17

Date dictated

12/7/74

b3

b6

b7C

b7E

b6

b7C

b6

b7C

b6

b7C

b6

b7C

WFO 72-194

2

also bears the initials [redacted]

b6
b7c

6) Serial number 2613, a teletype from the Director to Baltimore and 12 other offices, including WFO, dated September 27, 1972, re "June," was permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, as indicated by an FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

7) Serial number 2614, a teletype from the Acting Director to New York and four other offices, including WFO, dated September 29, 1972, re "June," was also permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, via an FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

8) Serial number 2638, a WFO teletype to the Director, dated October 2, 1972, re "June," was permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, via an FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

9) Serial number 2639, a WFO teletype to the Director and New York, dated October 3, 1972, re "June," was also permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, via an FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

10) Serial number 2640, a San Francisco teletype to the Director and ten other offices, including WFO, dated October 4, 1972, re "June," was likewise permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, as reflected on another FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

[redacted] furnished the following information with respect to the above noted FD-5's:

b6
b7c

Her initials, [redacted] appear on all the charge out slips in question because the Bureau rules and regulations require that a supervisory clerk initial all such slips reflecting permanent serial charge outs. The rotor clerk executing such a charge out slip is required to send the file to her [redacted] subsequent to a serial(s) being removed and replaced by the charge out slip(s). She [redacted] would never personally observe the serials being permanently charged out but would only initial the charge out slip (FD-5) and route the file back to the appropriate rotor.

WFO 72-194

3

[redacted] With respect to the particular FD-5's in question, [redacted] stated that the various serials described on the respective charge out slips should currently be located in WFO file 66-779, Sub G. She further stated that she has no specific recollection of the FD-5's in question.

b6
b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

12/9/74

Date of transcription

1

In an effort to locate information concerning electronic surveillance (elsur) checks connected with the so-called Pentagon Papers case (McLek case) involving DANIEL ELLSEYER for the period of July and August, 1972, a review was conducted of [redacted] file [redacted] volume 77, and the following observations were noted:

b3
b7E

Volume 77 contains serials 2551 through 2602.

Serial number 2557 is permanently charged out and an FD-5, file-serial charge out slip, is in its place. This FD-5 reflects that on February 21, 1973, a teletype from the Director to Baltimore and 13 other offices, including WFO, dated July 12, 1972, and captioned: "June, McLek, SIO," was placed on permanent charge out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G. The initials [redacted] appear on the FD-5.

b6
b7C

Serial number 2561 is replaced by an FD-5 which reflects that this communication was placed on permanent charge out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, on February 21, 1973. The FD-5 describes this serial as a WFO teletype to the Director and Los Angeles, dated July 14, 1972, and captioned "June, McLek, SIO." The initials [redacted] appear on the FD-5.

b6
b7C

Serial number 2563, described as a San Francisco airtel to the Bureau, dated July 19, 1972 (the date July 21, 1972, also appears on the FD-5), was also permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, on February 21, 1973. The initials [redacted] appear on this FD-5 also.

b6
b7C

Serial number 2570, a Bureau teletype to Boston, New York, and WFO, dated August 8, 1972, and captioned "June, McLek, SIO," was placed on permanent charge out on February 21, 1973, to WFO file 66-779, Sub G. The FD-5 reflecting this charge out bears the initials [redacted]

b6
b7C

Serial number 2571, a WFO teletype to the Director and Los Angeles, dated August 9, 1972, and captioned "June, McLek, SIO," is also replaced by an FD-5 reflecting that this serial was placed on permanent charge out to WFO file

Interviewed on 12/6/74 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 72-194

by SA [redacted] Date dictated 12/7/74

b6
b7C

20

WFO 72-194

2

66-779, Sub G, on February 21, 1973. This charge out also bears the initials [redacted]

b6
b7c

Volume 78 of the same file was reviewed for the presence of any serials relating to elsur checks conducted in connection with the McLek case and it was observed that the following serials had been replaced with FD-5's reflecting that on March 15, 1973, these serials were permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G:

<u>Serial Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
2613	Director teletype to Baltimore and 12 other offices, including WFO, dated September 27, 1972 re "June."
2614	Acting Director teletype to New York and four other offices, including WFO, dated September 29, 1972, re "June."
2638	WFO teletype to Director, dated October 2, 1972, re "June."
2639	WFO teletype to Director and New York, dated October 3, 1972, re "June."
2640	San Francisco teletype to [redacted] including WFO, dated October 4, 1972, re "June."

All of the FD-5's reflecting the above noted permanent charge outs bear the initials [redacted]

b6
b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 12/9/741

[redacted] Supervisor, Confidential
 File Room (CFR), Washington Field Office (WFO), FBI, was advised that, at the request of the Watergate Special Prosecutor's Office (WSPO), an effort was being made to locate certain communications relative to electronic surveillance (elsur) checks which were conducted with respect to the Pentagon Papers case involving DANIEL ELLSBERG, [redacted] file [redacted] which case was code-named "McLek." In this regard, [redacted] was displayed volume 78 of this file, containing serials 2551 through 2602, and volume 78 of the same file, containing serials 2603 through 2659. Specifically, [redacted] attention was directed to the following:

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

1) Serial number 2557 is permanently charged out and an FD-5, File-Serial Charge Out Slip, is in its place. This FD-5 reflects that on February 21, 1973, a teletype from the Director to Baltimore and 13 other offices, including WFO, dated July 12, 1972, and captioned "June, McLek, SIO," was placed on permanent charge out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G. The initials [redacted] appear on the FD-5.

b6
b7C

2) Serial number 2561 is replaced by an FD-5 which reflects that this communication was placed on permanent charge out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, on February 21, 1973. The FD-5 describes this serial as a WFO teletype to the Director and Los Angeles, dated July 14, 1972, and captioned "June, McLek, SIO." The initials [redacted] appear on the FD-5.

b6
b7C

3) Serial number 2563, described as a San Francisco airtel to the Director, dated July 19, 1972 (the date July 21, 1972, also appears on the FD-5), and captioned "June, McLek, SIO," was also permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, on February 21, 1973. The initials [redacted] also appear on this FD-5.

b6
b7C

4) Serial number 2570, a Bureau teletype to Boston, New York, and WFO, dated August 8, 1972, and captioned "June, McLek, SIO," was placed on permanent charge out on February 21, 1973, to WFO file 66-779, Sub G. The FD-5 reflecting this charge out bears the initials [redacted]

b6
b7CInterviewed on 12/6/74 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 72-194SAs [redacted] and [redacted] Date dictated 12/7/74
by [redacted]

WFO 72-194

2

5) Serial number 2571, a WFO teletype to the Director and Los Angeles, dated August 9, 1972, and captioned "June, McLek, SIO," is also replaced by an FD-5 reflecting that this serial was placed on permanent charge out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, on February 21, 1973. This charge out also bears the initials [redacted]

b6
b7C

6) Serial number 2613, a teletype from the Director to Baltimore and 12 other offices, including WFO, dated September 27, 1972, re "June," was permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, as indicated by an FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

7) Serial number 2614, a teletype from the Acting Director to New York and four other offices, including WFO, dated September 29, 1972, re "June," was also permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, via an FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

8) Serial number 2638, a WFO teletype to the Director, dated October 2, 1972, re "June," was permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, via an FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

9) Serial number 2639, a WFO teletype to the Director and New York, dated October 3, 1972, re "June," was also permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, via an FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

10) Serial number 2640, a San Francisco teletype to the Director and ten other offices, including WFO, dated October 4, 1972, re "June," was likewise permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, as reflected on another FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

With respect to the above noted FD-5's, [redacted] furnished the following information:

b6
b7C

In view of the information contained on the charge out slips, the serials described thereon should currently be located in WFO file 66-779, Sub G, the "June" file which is maintained in the CFR. Specifically, all communications pertaining to elsur checks connected with the "McLek" case were serialized as 451, with each subsequent serial being given a letter designation, i.e., 451-A, 451-B, etc.

WFO 72-194

3

Thereupon, [redacted] was exhibited volume 22 of WFO file 66-779, Sub G, containing serials 447 through 451, noting that the top communication, the WFO yellow copy of an airtel to the Director, dated September 13, 1971, and captioned "Elsur; McLek, Nationalities Intelligence," is serialized as 451-D.

b6
b7C

[redacted] noted that the serials being sought, which are described on the aforementioned charge out slips, should be serialized in volume 22 as 451, with designations of appropriately successive letters. She was unable to offer any explanation concerning why the serials in question were not serialized in volume 22. She explained that if there were additional "451" serials, they would be maintained in the same volume or a separate volume containing only "451" serials. She further explained that if a particular Sub G serial from the middle of a given volume became too voluminous, this serial would be placed in a separate volume and a charge out slip would be placed as the "top" piece of paper in the old volume to indicate that that particular serial was housed in a new volume by itself.

In an effort to locate the serials in question, [redacted] reviewed volume 23 of WFO file 66-779, Sub G, towards the possibility that a clerical error had caused 451 serials to be carried over into another volume. Her review of volume 23 failed to disclose the serials in question or any other serials relating to the "McLek" case.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that the following clerks were charged with maintaining the special files, including 66-779, Sub G, for the indicated periods:

Clerk

Period

--

From unrecalled date to September, 1971

b6
b7C

September, 1971, to May, 1972

May, 1972, to May, 1973

May, 1973, to present

WFO 72-194

4

[redacted] noted that [redacted] who had charge of the serials being sought during the period in question, resigned from the Bureau in the Summer of 1974, and is reportedly [redacted] somewhere in the mid-West, possibly in [redacted]

[redacted] was specifically asked whether there was any way to determine if the serials described on the above noted FD-5's were ever actually serialized in WFO file 66-779, Sub G, 451, or had been removed therefrom if they ever were, in fact, housed there. She stated there was no way to determine this and noted that all investigative personnel, and some clerks, have access to the file cabinets containing the Sub G files and, accordingly, any of these individuals may charge out a Sub G file. There is no way to determine when a file was reviewed or charged out by any particular individual, unless a file is presently charged out, in which case a charge out slip would reflect the identity of the individual to whom the file was charged.

b6
b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

12/9/74

Date of transcription _____

1

[redacted] Clerk, Washington Field Office (WFO), FBI, was advised that, at the request of the Watergate Special Prosecutor's Office (WSPO), an attempt was being made to locate certain serials concerning electronic surveillance (elsur) checks which were conducted in connection with the Pentagon Papers case involving DANIEL ELLSBERG, [redacted] file [redacted] which case was code-named "McLek." In this regard, [redacted] [redacted] was exhibited volume 22 of WFO file 66-779, Sub G, which contains serials 447 through 451. Thereafter, she furnished the following information:

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

Any serials concerning elsur checks in connection with the "McLek" case should appear in WFO file 66-779, Sub G, as 451. Additional serials dealing with the same subject would be given letter designations and, as evidenced by the file, the last and most recent serial designated for 66-779, Sub G, 451, is serialized as 451-D and is the WFO yellow copy of an airtel to the Director, dated September 13, 1971, and captioned "Elsur; McLek, Nationalities Intelligence". The initials on this particular serial, "vel," indicate that it was serialized and filed by [redacted]

b6
b7C

She [redacted] has no recollection that she ever filed any serials in WFO file 66-779, Sub G, 451. She noted that former Bureau clerk [redacted] was responsible for such filing in February and March, 1973.

Interviewed on 12/6/74 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 72-194

by SAs [redacted] and [redacted] Date dictated 12/7/74

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 12/10/741

[redacted] Clerk, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), was interviewed at the Washington Field Office (WFO), FBI. She was advised of the identity of the interviewing Agents and of the nature of the inquiry being conducted at the request of the Watergate Special Prosecutor's Office (WSPO) to the extent necessary to conduct a meaningful interview.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that she has been employed as a clerk with the FBI since [redacted] and has been assigned to WFO since entering on duty. On January 22, 1973, she was assigned as a [redacted] to [redacted] the rotor clerk for WFO squads S-1 and S-4, who trained her for approximately two weeks. From early February, 1973, until mid-March, 1973, [redacted] worked as a [redacted] rotor clerk on WFO squads A-1 and A-2 primarily, but also filled in on other squads when necessary.

b6
b7C

In mid-March, 1973, she again was assigned to the S-1 and S-4 rotor where [redacted] continued to train her in the duties of the rotor clerk. When [redacted] resigned from the FBI at the end of [redacted] she [redacted] became the S-1 and S-4 rotor clerk. She has been the rotor clerk for those two squads since that time.

b6
b7C

[redacted] was shown volume 78 of [redacted] file [redacted] containing serials numbered 2603 through 2659. Her attention was directed to a teletype dated March 26, 1973, to the Acting Director, FBI, and the SAC, Los Angeles, from the SAC, WFO, captioned "McLek, SIO." This teletype is numbered serial 2659 in blue ink. The blockstamp on this communication bears the initials [redacted] indicating the WFO employee who serialized and filed the communication. [redacted] advised that her maiden name is [redacted] and she identified the initials [redacted] as hers. She further advised that the serial number "2659" on that communication was written by her.

b3
b6
b7C
b7EInterviewed on 12/9/74 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 72-194SAs [redacted] and [redacted] Date dictated 12/9/74
by [redacted]b6
b7C

WFO 72-194

2

[redacted] attention was then directed to a teletype in [redacted] file [redacted] dated March 23, 1973, to the Acting Director, FBI, and Washington Field from Los Angeles captioned "McLek, SIO." This communication is numbered serial 2658 in blue ink. It was originally marked as serial 2660 in black ink but the number "2660" has been crossed out in blue ink. The blockstamp on this communication bears the initials [redacted] indicating the WFO employee who indexed, serialized and filed this communication. After viewing this communication, [redacted] identified the serial number "2658" on the teletype as having been written by her. She stated that she is positive she re-serialized the communication although she has no specific recollection of having done so. [redacted] noted that the re-serialization could have been done on instructions of a Special Agent or on her own initiative if she noted that a serial number had been omitted in the progression near the top of the file. She explained that she could re-serialize near the top of the file without having to change too many serial numbers. [redacted] further noted that she should have placed her initials next to the new serial number indicating that she made the change in serial numbers as this is proper procedure when re-serializing. However, as of March 23, 1973, the date of this communication, she was being trained as a rotor clerk, and not being totally familiar with this procedure she evidently neglected to initial the change.

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted] has no recollection of ever having permanently charged any serials out of the "McLek" file nor does she have any recollection that anyone ever instructed her to re-serialize anything in that file.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that she is somewhat familiar with the term "June file" but that she is not familiar with the contents of that file and has never worked with that file.

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 12/10/741

[redacted] Special Clerk (SC), Washington b3
 Field Office (WFO), FBI, was advised of the general nature b6
 of the inquiry being conducted at the request of the Water- b7C
 gate Special Prosecutor's Office (WSPO); specifically, that b7E
 an effort was being made to locate copies of certain WFO
 serials regarding electronic surveillance (elsur) checks
 which were conducted in connection with the "McLek" case.

[redacted] file number [redacted]. In this regard, [redacted]
 was exhibited Volumes 77 and 78 of that file which con-
 tain, respectively, serials 2551 through 2602 and 2603
 through 2659. Her attention was directed to the following
 charge out slips (FD-5's) appearing in these files which
 reflect that the following serials, all captioned "June,
 McLek, SIO," were permanently charged out on the indi-
 cated dates to WFO file 66-779, Sub G:

1) Serial number 2557, a teletype from the Director
 to Baltimore and 13 other offices, including WFO, dated
 July 12, 1972. Charged out by FD-5 dated February 21, 1973.

2) Serial number 2561, a teletype from WFO to
 the Director and Los Angeles, dated July 14, 1972.
 Permanently charged out by FD-5 dated February 21, 1973.

3) Serial number 2563, an airtel to the Director
 from San Francisco, dated July 19, 1972 (the FD-5, under
 "Description of Serial," also reflects the date July 21,
 1972). Permanently charged out by FD-5 dated February 21,
 1973.

4) Serial number 2570, a teletype from the Di-
 rector to Boston, New York, and WFO, dated August 8, 1972.
 Permanently charged out by FD-5 dated February 21, 1973.

5) Serial number 2571, a WFO teletype to the
 Director and Los Angeles, dated August 9, 1972. Permanently
 charged out by FD-5 dated February 21, 1973.

6) Serial number 2613, a teletype from the
 Director to Baltimore and 12 other offices, including WFO,
 dated September 27, 1972. Permanently charged out by FD-5,
 March 15, 1973.

Interviewed on 12/9/74 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 72-194

SAs [redacted] and [redacted] Date dictated 12/9/74
 by [redacted] b6
 b7C

WFO 72-194

2

7) Serial number 2614, a teletype from the Acting Director to New York and four other offices, including WFO, dated September 29, 1972. Permanently charged out by FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

8) Serial number 2638, a WFO teletype to the Director, dated October 2, 1972. Permanently charged out by FD-5, dated March 15, 1973.

9) Serial number 2639, a WFO teletype to the Director and New York, dated October 3, 1972. Permanently charged out by FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

10) Serial number 2640, a San Francisco teletype to the Director and ten other offices, including WFO, dated October 4, 1972. Permanently charged out by FD-5 dated March 15, 1973.

[redacted] furnished the following information:

b6
b7C

She has been employed in WFO since 1970, and, from that time until the latter part of January or early February, 1973, she was assigned to the office of then WFO Security Coordinator COURTLAND J. JONES. In approximately February, 1973, she became an SC.

She recalls the "McLek" case involving DANIEL ELLS-BERG and, from the inception of the case until shortly before the time she became an SC, she performed all the serializing and indexing involved in the multi-volume case. All files regarding the case were maintained in key lock cabinets in JONES' office in view of the sensitivity of the case. When the case began "dying" in January or February, 1973, the current volume was sent to the regular S-1 rotor and all other volumes were sent to the closed files section.

She was not involved in the permanent charge out of any of the above described serials since this occurred subsequent to her becoming an SC, and she does not recall ever having charged out any serials from the "McLek" case to WFO file 66-779, Sub G.

[redacted] attention was directed to the "top" three serials in Volume 73 of [redacted] file [redacted]

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

WFO 72-194

3

1) Serial number 2657, the yellow WFO copy of an airtel to the Acting Director from WFO, dated January 30, 1973, and captioned "McLek, SIO;"

2) Serial number 2658, a Los Angeles nitel to the Acting Director and WFO, dated March 23, 1973, and captioned "McLek, SIO;" and

3) Serial number 2659, the WFO blue copy of a WFO teletype to the Acting Director and Los Angeles, dated March 26, 1973, and captioned "McLek, SIO."

[redacted] stated that serial number 2657, described above, bears a stamp containing her initials, [redacted] which indicates that she serialized and filed this communication. She also stated that the number "2657" was written by her and that this appears to be the last communication she handled in the "McLek" file.

b6
b7C

[redacted] further advised that the WFO copy of an outgoing communication would be routed from "McLek" case Agent [redacted] to Supervisor JONES and then to her at which time she would affix the appropriate serial number to the communication. Thereafter, she would either place the serial in the file or charge it out to [redacted]. Concerning elsur check requests, [redacted] advised that SA [redacted] always prepared indices search slips (FD-160's) concerning the names of the individuals to be checked. She noted the presence of such slips in Volumes 77 and 78 near the FD-5's describing the various communications listed in numbers 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 above.

b6
b7C

[redacted] also advised that while still assigned to JONES' squad, but just before she became an SC, "a lot of stuff" deemed by JONES to be not pertinent to the "McLek" case was destroyed. This action was prompted by the need for additional space and such items as Agents' work copies of reports were destroyed. She also stated that the only individuals who had much contact with the "McLek" case files were SA [redacted] and SA [redacted] the original "McLek" case Agent who was subsequently transferred to FBI Headquarters.

b6
b7C

WFO 72-194

4

[redacted] was specifically asked when she first learned of the Bureau wiretap on NORTON HALPERIN, who was the subject of one of the so-called White House ordered wiretaps. She stated that she first learned of this wiretap when she read about same in a newspaper. She was also specifically asked if she had any information which would indicate that the White House ordered wiretaps were discussed in connection with the "McLek" case. She replied in the negative.

b6
b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

12/9/74

Date of transcription

1

[redacted] home telephone number [redacted]
 was advised of the general nature of the investigation being conducted at the request of the Watergate Special Prosecutor's Office (WSPO) and, thereafter, furnished the following information:

From April 13, 1970, until March 30, 1973, she was employed as a clerk at the Washington Field Office (WFO) of the FBI. During the approximate last year of her employment with the Bureau, she was assigned as a rotor clerk for WFO security squads S-1 and S-4, which were supervised by WFO Security Coordinator COURTLAND J. JONES and Supervisor [redacted] respectively. Her desk and rotor were located in Room 407C of the Old Post Office Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. (WDC).

She recalls the investigation of the Pentagon Papers case involving DANIEL ELLSBERG which case was code named McLek. All of the files, including the current volume and all previous volumes, pertaining to this case were maintained in the office of Supervisor JONES, Room 406, during her tenure as rotor clerk until approximately mid-February or early March, 1973, prior to her resignation. At that time, the current volume of the McLek case was placed on her rotor. This current volume was brought to her rotor by the then case Agent SA [redacted] or, possibly, by Supervisor JONES. Prior to the file being handled on her rotor, all serializing and filing was handled by Clerk [redacted] who was assigned to JONES' office. Before the current volume was placed on her [redacted] rotor, the only time she saw the current McLek volume was when serials were being posted. The file may have been handled by [redacted] because of the sensitivity of the case although she [redacted] was given no explanation concerning why [redacted] was performing duties that would normally have been performed by a rotor clerk.

[redacted] was exhibited volume 77 of [redacted] file [redacted] the McLek file, which contains serials 2551 through 2602. Her attention was directed to the green charge

b6
b7cb6
b7cb6
b7cb3
b6
b7c
b7e

Interviewed on 12/6/74 at Hillcrest Heights, Maryland File # WFO 72-194

by SA [redacted]

33

Date dictated

12/7/74

WFO 72-194

2

out slips (form FD-5) which were inserted in the file in place of the following serials and which slips reflect that these serials had been permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, the "June" file, on February 21, 1973:

1) Serial number 2557, a teletype from the Director to Baltimore and 13 other offices, including WFO, dated July 12, 1972, and captioned "June, McLek, SIO."

2) Serial number 2561, a WFO teletype to the Director and Los Angeles, dated July 14, 1972, and captioned "June, McLek, SIO."

3) Serial number 2563, a San Francisco airtel to the Bureau, dated July 19, 1972 (the date July 21, 1972, also appears on the FD-5), and captioned "June, McLek, SIO."

4) Serial number 2570, a Bureau teletype to Boston, New York, and WFO, dated August 8, 1972, and captioned "June, McLek, SIO."

5) Serial number 2571, a WFO teletype to the Director and Los Angeles, dated August 9, 1972, and captioned "June, McLek, SIO."

[redacted] was also exhibited volume 78 of the same file, which contains serials 2603 through 2659, and her attention was directed to the FD-5's, dated March 15, 1973, which reflect that the following serials were also permanently charged out to WFO file 66-779, Sub G, on that date:

1) Serial number 2613, a teletype from the Director to Baltimore and 12 other offices, including WFO, dated September 27, 1972, re "June."

2) Serial number 2614, a teletype from the Acting Director to New York and four other offices, including WFO, dated September 29, 1972, re "June."

3) Serial number 2638, a WFO teletype to Director, dated October 2, 1972, re "June."

4) Serial number 2639, a WFO teletype to Director and New York, dated October 3, 1972, re "June."

b6
b7c

WFO 72-194

3

5) Serial number 2640, San Francisco teletype to the Director and ten other offices, including WFO, dated October 4, 1972, re "June."

[redacted] provided the following information concerning the above noted charge out slips and serials:

b6
b7C

The writing on each of the above described charge out slips is hers with the exception of the initials [redacted] which appear on each slip. These are the initials of WFO Pending Files Supervisor [redacted]. She [redacted] was obviously the clerk who removed the serials in question from the file and she is reasonably certain that she performed this upon the instructions of SA [redacted]. The possibility exists that Supervisor JONES may have been the one who told her to remove the serials in question but she believes it was probably [redacted] since JONES was an infrequent visitor to the rotor. [redacted] would always personally appear at the rotor, as opposed to sending routing slips, and would remain there until she completed whatever it was he wanted done to the file. She has no recollection whether, on the two dates in question (February 21, 1973, and March 15, 1973) [redacted] marked the serials he desired to be removed or whether he told her to conduct a review for serials relating to electronic surveillance (elsur) checks.

b6
b7C

In any event, the mechanics of the permanent charge out procedure were as follows:

She would, upon instructions of an Agent, remove the desired serials from a file and, at the same time, place an FD-5 in the place of the serial in the file. Next, she would immediately route the file to [redacted] for her initials but she [redacted] is not certain whether the loose "charged out" serials were forwarded with the file to [redacted] (and thereafter returned to [redacted] for forwarding to the confidential file room (CFR), where the June files are maintained, or to the case Agent) or whether the file alone was sent to [redacted] while the charged out serials were given to the Agent or forwarded to the CFR. She believes it was the latter procedure which was followed and that, possibly, [redacted] accepted the serials personally. She noted that SA [redacted] would never remove anything from the file himself but would always ask her to do same.

b6
b7C

WFO 72-194

4

[redacted] attention was directed to the top three serials of volume 78 of [redacted] file [redacted] specifically, serial number 2657, the WFO yellow copy of a one page WFO airtel to the Acting Director, dated January 30, 1973, and captioned "McLek, SIO;" serial number 2658, a two page nitel from Los Angeles to the Acting Director and WFO, dated March 23, 1973, and captioned "McLek, SIO;" and serial number 2659, a one page teletype from WFO to the Acting Director and Los Angeles, captioned "McLek, SIO." Upon observing these serials, [redacted] made the following observations:

The serial number "2657," which is written in pencil, was probably written by [redacted] inasmuch as [redacted] initials. [redacted] appear in the stamp which indicates that [redacted] serialized and filed the serial in question.

Her own initials, [redacted] appear in the stamp on the bottom on page one of serial number 2658, indicating that she [redacted] searched and serialized this communication. The serial number "2660," which is written in black ball point pen ink and which has been crossed through by two horizontal lines in blue ball point pen ink, was written by her. She also believes that she probably was responsible for crossing through the number "2660" and that she wrote the number "2658" above the number "2658." It is possible that this changing of the serial number was performed by another clerk, [redacted] whom she was training as her replacement during her [redacted] last month in WFO. If [redacted] did effect the change, then she did so upon her [redacted] instructions.

[redacted] stated that she was quite puzzled by this obvious change in the serial number on the communication in question. She was unable to offer any explanation for the change nor was she able to recall the circumstances under which the change was made. She stated that, at one time, there must have been two other serials under the Los Angeles teletype in question.

[redacted] advised that [redacted] who has since married, maiden name not recalled, handled serial number 2659 and probably wrote the number "2659" which appears in blue ball point pen ink. She also noted

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

WFO 72-194

5

that [redacted] then initials, [redacted] indicate that [redacted] posted the serial upon the authority of Supervisor JONES.

b6
b7c

[redacted] observed that the previously mentioned [redacted] handled the searching and filing of volume 78 up to and including the aforementioned serial number 2657 which is dated January 30, 1973.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 12/19/741

[redacted] was interviewed at the Washington Field Office (WFO), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). She was aware of the identity of the interviewing Agents and was advised of the nature of the inquiry being conducted at the specific request of the Watergate Special Prosecutor's Office (WSPO) to the extent necessary to conduct a meaningful interview.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that she was formerly employed as a clerk with the FBI from [redacted] to [redacted] during which time she served in the Washington Field Office. She resigned from the FBI to attend [redacted] and is currently enrolled at [redacted]

b6
b7C

During part of the time she worked for the FBI as a clerk in the Washington Field Office, she was assigned to the Confidential File Room (CFR) where she handled the serializing and filing in the "June" file (WFO file 66-779) and other "special files." She does not recall the exact dates that she was assigned to CFR, but it was approximately May, 1972 to May, 1973.

It was explained to [redacted] that an attempt was being made to locate a group of serials permanently charged out of [redacted] file [redacted] and allegedly placed in WFO file 66-779, Sub G, the "June" file, in February and March, 1973. [redacted] was shown Xerox copies of FD-5 Serial Charge Out Slips indicating that serials numbered 2557 dated July 12, 1972, 2561 dated July 14, 1972, 2563 dated July 19, 1972, 2570 dated August 8, 1972, and 2571 dated August 9, 1972, were permanently charged out of [redacted] file [redacted] captioned "McLek; SIO" on February 21, 1973, and that serials numbered 2613 dated September 22, 1972, 2614 dated September 29, 1972, 2638 dated October 2, 1972, 2639 dated October 3, 1972, and 2640 dated October 4, 1972, were permanently charged out of the same file on March 15, 1973.

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted] was then shown Volume 22 of WFO file 66-779, Sub G, the top serial of which is serialized

b6
b7CInterviewed on 12/11/74 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 72-194by SAs [redacted] and [redacted] Date dictated 12/13/74

WFO 72-194

2

as 66-779, Sub G, 451D. This serial is the yellow WFO copy of a two page WFO airtel to the Bureau, dated September 13, 1971. After viewing this volume, [redacted] agreed that the serials charged out of [redacted] file [redacted] should be in Volume 22 of WFO file 66-779, Sub G, serialized as 451E, 451F, etc. [redacted] stated that she has no recollection of ever filing anything in that volume and she feels that she would have a recollection of having done so inasmuch as the Pentagon Papers case was a big case and one which was receiving a great deal of attention in the news media.

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

[redacted] stated that the proper administration of WFO file 66-779 would require that any incoming or outgoing communications dealing with electronic surveillance (elsur) be filed in the Sub G volume of that file. If a copy of any incoming or outgoing communication dealing with elsur was needed for a substantive file, then a Xerox copy would have to be made because the WFO copy of the communication would have to be filed in the Sub G volume.

b6
b7C

With regard to the indexing of communications directed to the Sub G volume of WFO file 66-779, [redacted] stated that if a communication was designated to a case on which a Sub G file had already been started then she would not index the title, but would only index names which had been "blue lined" by the case Agent.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that there is a possibility that the serials permanently charged out of [redacted] file [redacted] were misfiled within CFR. To illustrate this point, [redacted] related that during one of the inspections while she was working in CFR, an Inspector's Aide brought some "June" mail into CFR which had been found in a substantive file jacket in Supervisor COURTLAND J. JONES' squad room. She recalled that the Inspector's Aide wanted to place these communications in a file in CFR which was handled by [redacted] another clerk in CFR. [redacted] stated that while talking to the Inspector's Aide, she noted the word "elsur" on the communications and told the Inspector's Aide that the communication should be filed in WFO file 66-779, Sub G. She recalled that the Inspector's Aide wanted the communications filed in the files maintained by [redacted] and has no specific recollection which file the communications were ultimately filed.

b3
b6
b7C
b7E

WFO 72-194

3

in. [redacted] does not know whether the communications which the Inspector's Aide brought into CFR were on the "McLek" case, but does recall that whatever case the communications were on was one for which [redacted] also maintained a file.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that WFO file 66-779, Sub G was one that should not have been removed from CFR for review by Agents, but squad S-1 Agents often "red flagged" the file and took it from CFR. She has no recollection of any particular volume of that file being removed from CFR.

b6
b7C

[redacted] further stated that if an Agent got permission from Supervisor COURTLAND J. JONES, he could remove a volume of WFO file 66-779, Sub G from CFR.

With regard to which Special Agents who in particular might have utilized the Sub G volume of WFO file 66-779, [redacted] stated that SA [redacted] spent a great deal of time in CFR reviewing files. On many occasions Supervisor JONES would accompany [redacted] to CFR and on most occasions when they were in CFR they would go back to the cabinet where the Sub G volumes of WFO file 66-779 were maintained.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that serializing of communications in the files maintained in CFR was the duty of the clerks assigned there. However, on occasion, Special Agents would serialize communications for one of the special files maintained in CFR and then tell her that they had done so. However, she has no specific recollection of any Agent ever doing any serializing or filing in the Sub G volumes of WFO file 66-779.

b6
b7C